


(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423101 NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



#### **Feedback Analysis**

Dr. Navana S. Baste

Associate Professor Department of Pharmaceutics.

#### Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Industrial Pharmacy II course for the academic year 2023-24 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

	Parameter(s)	No. of F	Responses	(Total 3	
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
1	How would vou rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	28	06	0	01
2	How would vou rate the course on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	24	09	0	02
3	How would vou rate the course on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?	28	06	0	01
4	How would vou rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	27	07	0	01
5	How would you overall rate the course	22	11	01	01
	Total responses	129	39	01	06
	% Responses	74	22	0.5	3.5

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

**CORRECTIVE ACTION:** Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,


Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani


Principal



(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423101











(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423101 NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU







# 23-24 Industrial Pharmacy II Feed Back Form

Program: B. Pharmad	су				
Academic Year:2023	-24	Year /Sem	nester: Final Ye	ar B.Pharmacy	(Semester VII)
Course Title: Industri	al Pharmacy I	Course C	ode: BP702T		
Roll No of student	r				
50					
Name of Student (S		t) *			
Sonawane Ajay Shan	tilal				
1. How would yo	น rate the coเ	urse on the ba	asis of fulfillm	ent of objectiv	es? *
	1	2	3	4	
Average		0	0	•	Excellent

How would y     other than syllabu		urse on the ba	,	ni and noven	y discussed
	1	2	3	4	
Average	0	0	0	•	Excellent
How would y communicating qu		urse on the ba	asis of presentin	g (Teaching <sup>-</sup>	Tools) and *
	1	2	3	4	
Average	0	0	$\circ$	•	Excellent
Average	1	2	3	4	Excellent
Average					
5. How would y	you overall rate	e the course *			
5. How would y	you overall rate		3 4	5	
5. How would y				5 •	Excellent
	1	2		•	Excellent

### Google Forms

Program: B. Pharmacy

# 23-24 Industrial Pharmacy II Feed Back Form

Academic Year:2023	-24	Year /Sem	nester: Final Ye	ar B.Pharmacy	(Semester VII)
Course Title: Industri	al Pharmacy II	Course C	Code: BP702T		
Roll No of student	<b>k</b>				
61					
Name of Student (S	Surname First	) *			
Suryawanshi Vaidavi					
How would yo	u rate the coเ	irse on the ba	asis of fulfillm	ent of objectiv	/es? *
	1	2	3	4	
A	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	•		5II ·
Average	0		•	0	Excellent

2. How would you other than syllabus		ourse on the	e basis of	the conter	nt and novel	ty discussed * ·
	1	2	3	3	4	
Average	0	0	(		0	Excellent
How would your communicating quantum co		ourse on the	e basis of	presenting	g (Teaching	Tools) and *
	1	2	;	3	4	
Average	0	0	(	•	0	Excellent
4. How would y quality?	ou rate the co	ourse the b		riety of exa	amples and	concept building *
Average	0	0	(	)	•	Excellent
5. How would y	ou overall ra	te the cours	se *			
	1	2	3	4	5	
Average	0	0	0	•	0	Excellent
Would you like to	suggest any	thing?				

This form was created inside of SNJB (Jain Gurukul).

### Google Forms



(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423101 NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



Date: 30/12/2023

#### Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Dr. Nayana S. Baste

Academic Year: 2023-24

Course and Course Code: Industrial Pharmacy II (BP702T)

Course and Course Code. Industrial Filarmacy II (BI 7021)

Feedback given by students: 35

Semester: VII

Percent Feedback: 52

Question	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
1	28	06	0	01
2	24	09	0	02
3	28	06	0	01
4	27	07	0	01
5	22	11	01	01

#### Questions:

- 1. How would you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How would you rate the course on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How would you rate the course on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?
- 4. How would you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?
- 5. How would you overall rate the course

#### Remarks:

Since a majority of students rated as *Excellent* or *Very Good*, I will implement the practices that led to these positive results, such as clear communication, engaging materials, and effective interactive tools.

Subject Incharge

**IQAC Co-ordinator** 

Principal





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Date: 28/06/2024

#### Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Dr. Charulata T. Nemade

Academic Year: 2023-'24

Semester: VI

Course and Course Code: Herbal Drug Technology (BP603T & BP 609P)

Feedback given by students: 50

Percent Feedback: 74.62%

		THE I	DESIGN OF	COURSE		
Question	1	2	3	4	5	6
Excellent	29	24	30	25	30	26
Very good	12	19	12	17	11	18
Good	7	6	6	7	8	5
Fair	2	1	2	1	1	1
		THE CO	ONDUCT OF	COURSE	•	
Question	1	2	3	4	5	
Excellent	28	29	22	27	30	
Very good	15	11	18	15	12	
Good	5	9	7	6	7	
Fair	2	1	3	2	1	

#### **Questions:**

#### A. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Fair

- 1. Were the objectives of the course clear to you
- 2. The course contents met with your expectations
- 3. The lecture sequence was well planned
- 4. The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies
- 5. The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices
- 6. Will you recommend this course in your program

#### B. THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

1. The lecturers were clear and easy to understand?



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

- 2. The teaching aids were effectively used?
- 3. The course material handed out was adequate?
- 4. Were the objectives of the course met?
- 5. Please give overall rating of the course.

#### Remarks:

- 1. Practice test will be planned after completing the topic for clear understanding.
- 2. Lectures will be conducted on powerpoint presentation

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal

#### Feedback Analysis Herbal Drug Technology (BP603T & BP609P) Academic Year: 2023-'24

#### Dr. (Mrs.) Charulata T. Nemade

Associate Professor,

Head of Pharmacognosy Department

#### Dear Madam.

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Herbal Drug Technology course for the academic year 2023-24 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

#### 1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Sr.	Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 50)				
No.		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	
1.	Were the objectives of the course clear to you	29	12	7	2	
2.	The course contents met with your expectations	24	19	6	1	
3.	The lecture sequence was well planned	30	12	6	2	
4.	The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	25	17	7	1	
5.	The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	30	. 11	8	1	
6.	Will you recommend this course in your program	26	18	5	1	
	Total responses	164	89	39	08	
	% Responses	54.66%	29.66%	13%	2.67%	

#### 2. THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

Sr.	Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 50)				
No.		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	
1.	The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	28	15	5	2	
2.	The teaching aids were effectively used	29	11	9	1	
3.	The course material handed out was adequate	22	18	7	3	
4.	Were the objectives of the course met	27	15	6	2	

Please give overall rating of the course	30	12	7	1
Total responses	136	71	34	09
% Responses	54.4%	28.4%	13.6%	3.6%

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

**CORRECTIVE ACTION:** Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. There are few suggestive comments like conduct the practice test after completing each topic for clear understanding, and conduct the online lectures. All students are satisfied with your teaching. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.


With Regards,


Yours sincerely


Dr. C. D. Upasani


Principal


Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.




































Were the objectives of the course clear to you? *	
1	
O 2	
○ 3	
O 4	
2. Is the course contents met with your expectations? *	
1	
O 2	
○ 3	
O 4	
3. The lecture sequence was well planned. *	
1	
O 2	
○ 3	
O 4	
4. The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies *	
O 2	
O 3	
O 4	

5. The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices *
O 2
O 3
O 4
Will you recommend this course in your program *
O 2
O 3
O 4
3. THE CONDUCT OF COURSE
1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Fair
The lectures were clear and easy to understand *
1
O 2
O 3
O 4

The teaching aids were effectively used     *	
O 2	
○ 3	
O 4	
The course material handed out was adequate  *	
O 1	
② 2	
○ 3	
O 4	
4. Were the objectives of the course met *	
O 2	
○ 3	
O 4	
5. Please give overall rating of the course *	
O 2	
○ 3	
O 4	
4. Any Suggestions to improve the course	
Any Suggestions to improve the course *	
None	
Submitted	4/27/24, 12:38 PM



(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. **NBA Accredited UG Program** Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



#### **Students Feedback Form**

(M. Pharmacy Program)


Class:

FY M. Pharm (Regulatory Affairs)

Academic Year: 2023-24

Semester: I

Particulars ↓/ Response →	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
The design of the course	100			
The conduct of the course	100			



**IQAC** Coordinator

Principal

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program

Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



#### Course Feedback Form (M.Pharmacy Program)

Course Title: Good regulatory practicy Course Code: MFA 101 T

Year /Semester: 377 A.Y. 2023-24

#### 1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Fair

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Were the objectives of the course clear to you	1			
2	The course contents met with your expectations	~			
3	The lecture sequence was well planned	-			
4	The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	~			
5	The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices				

#### 2. THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

Sr.	Question	1	2	3	4
No					
1	The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	~			
2	The teaching aids were effectively used	-			
3	The course material handed out was adequate				18
4	Were the objectives of the course met				
5	Please give overall rating of the course	/			

D 11	N.T.
Roll	NO.

C-08


Name:

495h tulcarni



(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. **NBA Accredited UG Program** 

Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



#### Course Feedback Form (M.Pharmacy Program)

Course Title: Gloot regulatory practices Course Code: MRAJOLT

Year/Semester: 737

A.Y. 2023-24

#### 1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Fair

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Were the objectives of the course clear to you				
2	The course contents met with your expectations	~			
3	The lecture sequence was well planned	~			
4	The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	~			
5	The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	~			

#### 2. THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

Sr.	Question	1	2	3	4
No		3			
1	The lecturers were clear and easy to understand				
2	The teaching aids were effectively used				a
3	The course material handed out was adequate	_			
4	Were the objectives of the course met	~			
5	Please give overall rating of the course	~			

Roll No.

07

Name:


Apratim Mahajan.



(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.


NBA Accredited UG Program

Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



#### Program Outcome Feedback Analysis

#### Academic year 23-24



#### **Action taken**

Around 92 percent of students given excellent remark regarding programe outcomes.

They have not mentioned any weakness.

Mrs. V.R.Daga Class Incharge





Dr. C.D.Upasani
Principal
PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

### Program outcome Feedback Form (B.Pharmacy)

	nic Year: 2023 - 24				().
Course	Title: B. Pherm				ļ.
Year: _	Final years				
	Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very go	ood, 3: 0	Good,	4: Fair	
Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Pharmacy Knowledge				-
2	Planning Abilities		1		
3	Problem Analysis				
4	Modern tool usage	A.S.			
5	Leadership skills	/			
6	Professional Identity				
7	Pharmaceutical Ethics				
8	Communication		1		
9	The Pharmacist and society				
10	Environment and Sustainability				
11	Life –long learning				
	the objectives of the Program met give overall rating of the Program	(√AN	Yes/To Y ONE	some ex	tent/ No
		%-50%	( )		
	90%-70% ( ) Be	low 50%	<b>6</b> ( )	,	

3. Any Suggestions to improve the overall teaching—learning proc	ess
No	
4. Mention any three best practices of the institution:	
I. Planning process.  II. teaching quality  III. Engage Student in a quality ether	
III. Engage Student in a quality enhan	rement.
5. Mention any three weakness of the institution  I. Sport's equipment tess  II. need more no of hooks.	
III.	
Name of Student: Gaural Shailesh Kenkonya	
Year: Fine year	
Signature:	
والمراد عاد عاد عاد عاد عاد عاد عاد عاد عاد ع	
*****	



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Course Title: 900d manufacturing practices

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Fair

Academic Year: 20137 24

90%-70% ( )

Year: Final year

### Program outcome Feedback Form (B.Pharmacy)

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4	
1	Pharmacy Knowledge			~		
2	Planning Abilities					
3	Problem Analysis					
4	Modern tool usage				7	
5	Leadership skills		1			
6	Professional Identity					
7	Pharmaceutical Ethics					
8	Communication					
9	The Pharmacist and society					
10	Environment and Sustainability		1			
11	Life –long learning					
	the objectives of the Program met give overall rating of the Program				tent/ No	
90%-100% ( ) 70%-50% ( )						

Below 50% (

3. Ar	y Suggestions to improve the overall teaching -learning process
	No.
4. M	ention any three best practices of the institution:
	I. Teaching quality
	II. Planning Process.
	III. Engage Student in a quality
5. M	ention any three weakness of the institution
	I. Sport equipment to
	II
	III
Name	e of Student: Siddhi Nitio chardiya
Year	: Final years
Signa	ature: South
	*****
	<u> </u>



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

### Program outcome Feedback Form (B.Pharmacy)

Acader	nic Year: 2023 - 2024				1
Course	Title: B. Pharmacy				
Year:	final year				
	Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very go	od, 3: (	Good,	4: Fair	
Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Pharmacy Knowledge		V		
2	Planning Abilities		V		
3 *	Problem Analysis	~			
4	Modern tool usage	~	nJ i	1300	
5	Leadership skills		1		
6	Professional Identity				
7	Pharmaceutical Ethics				
8	Communication				
9	The Pharmacist and society				
10	Environment and Sustainability		1		
11	Life –long learning				1
	the objectives of the Program met give overall rating of the Program				xtent/ No
	000/ 1000/				
	0004 =004	%-50% 500	` ′	)	
	90%-70% ( ) Bel	ow 50%	o ( )		

3. Any Suggestions to improve the overall teaching —learning process	
NO	geograph Ann Paster Affred Interview
4. Mention any three best practices of the institution:	
I. planning process	
II. reaching quality	
III. skill enhancement	
5. Mention any three weakness of the institution	
I. Need more number of Sport	
II	
III.	
Name of Student: Shraddha Ratanakar Bhamare	
Year: <u>Final year</u>	
Signature: Signature:	

\*\*\*\*\*



Academic Year:

#### SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Academic Year:

B. Pharmacy

Course Title: Good manufacturing Practices

Year: 2023-2024 final year

### Program outcome Feedback Form (B.Pharmacy)

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Pharmacy Knowledge				
2	Planning Abilities		~		
3	Problem Analysis			1	
4	Modern tool usage	74.00		V JOY.	
5	Leadership skills	77	1/	in E	
6	Professional Identity	1000		1	602-1
7	Pharmaceutical Ethics				
8	Communication				
9	The Pharmacist and society				
10	Environment and Sustainability				
11	Life –long learning				
	000/ =00/		ONE	some e	xtent/

3.	Any Suggestions to improve the overall teaching –learning process				
	No				
4.	Mention any three best practices of the institution:				
	I. Engage Students in quality enhacement				
	II. teaching quality				
	III. planning process				
5.	Mention any three weakness of the institution				
	I. Need more Sports equipment				
	II. Need more time for library				
	III. Need more number of books				
N	ame of Student: Tushar Raghunal Chamban				
Y	ear: final yeard				
S	ignature: Turchu				
	***********				