

SNJB's

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Feedback Analysis Pharmaceutical Engineering Academic Year: 2018-19

Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmaceutics.

Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmaceutical Engineering course for the academic year 2018-19 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.


The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

i i	Parameter(s)	No. of	Responses	(Total	(6)
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	87.5	12.5	7-	1=
	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	81.3	18.8		
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	93.8	6. 3	£T!	-
4.	How will you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	75	18.8	6.2	(-1
5.	How will you rate overall the course?	81.3	18.8	122	V/222
	Total responses	418.9	56.4	6.2	350
	% Responses	83.78	11.28	1.24	V244

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal

Note: Refer page 5 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.


How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfilment of objectives?

15 responses

16 o (0%) 0 (0%) 2 (12.5%)

1 2 3







SNJB's


SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

SURES

Chandwad Dist Nashik 423101

OF PHAR

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



PRINCIPAL

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN


COLLECT OF PROTEST OF MEMINAGAR

Challes Challes Challes





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.








SNJB's

IQAC Chandward Dist Nachi 423101

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

-19Pharmaceutical 8	Engineering Course foed	back 🗆 🕁			Þ	0	Ф	5	d	Send III
	figurantes control to es		store Ansposen C	O Settings						
	18-19 Pha feedback		ical Engine	ering :Cou	rse					
	EPGOST Pharmaceup	cal Engineering	er: Secund year sem-18		Li,					
	- indicates required qu		137							
	Email *	TA HERE	No.			1000				
	shelkesum(725 gmail	com								
	Name of Student		76 1.76	T Page	KY.	101				
	Shelbe Surra remneth					16				
a docugoogle.com/t	S & W Amazonational monotonic (1995) Proposition of the Control of Addition Account						ALOOP	SON T		6 8 B
a docupooyle.com/th 5 there and Roycle MT	ometers supported a strange.	lateratavalus un					(i)	5	XA.	E PUES E S
a document	ornelic (1994) of Adda Know the Chell (2) Adda Know ngineering:Course feeds	esk □ ☆		-SCROKHOLD-SCOCK	I KSCore gimir		00 CD	5	XA.	ERES
a document	oresto 1999 politica (1780 per tre Crest (2) Adam Kenne ngje esering:Course feedb	lehit signitision ack ロ 介 Cum	Caro Medificación	Seriegs	(Cook dink		ф	5	č	2.4
a docupooyle.com/th 5 there and Roycle MT	oresto 1999 politica (1780 per tre Crest (2) Adam Kenne ngje esering:Course feedb	lehit signitision ack ロ 介 Cum	Claro (Negonias D	Seriegs	(Cook dink		6	5	ď.	2 2 2
a document	oresto 1999 politica (1780 per tre Crest (2) Adam Kenne ngje esering:Course feedb	lehit signitision ack ロ 介 Cum	Claro (Negonias D	Servings s of full iment of ob	(Cook dink	©	G)	5	œ.	E P. 6
a docuproglacemit	the Goet	Seck C the Guerral State Course on the	Clare Responses Responses 3 3 Cl C	Servings Servings Sold full imment of ob.	D incohes?*	© est	6	5	œ.	6 a .
a document	tre Great (2) Address known re Great (2) Address known regin weet in gr. Course fleedby How would you rate t	Seck C the Guerral State Course on the	Clare Responses Responses 3 3 Cl C	Servings Servings Sold full imment of ob.	D incohes?*	© est	6	5	e e	6 P 6
a document	How would you rate ! How would you rate !	Seck C the Guerral State Course on the	Clare Responses Responses 3 3 Cl C	Servings Servings Sold full imment of ob.	D incohes?*	© est	6	5	e e	
a document	How would you rate ! How would you rate !	Seck C the Guerral State Course on the	Clare Responses Responses 3 3 Cl C	Servings Servings Sold full imment of ob.	D incohes?*	© Control of the Cont	6	5	c c	e a s
a docuproglacemit	How would you rate ! How would you rate ! How would you rate ! Other than syllabus?	ack	Clare Responses State 1 - 4 on the basi 2	Servings Servings of full imment of ob. Cof the content and	D parties?* Excel moveky disc	O parvints	6	5	1000000000000000000000000000000000000	







SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

18-19Prarmaceutical Engir	eering.Course feedl	oack 🗀 🖸	1 3			D 0	0	5	c2	Send	1
	harlage	9	arations Rose	9000 (Settings .	EMOLS S					
		117				g#Cebei4					
The state of	La Pi			MI ANA			11.665				
	How would you rate building quality?	the course on	the scale 1 - 4 c	on the basis of	variaty of exam	ples and concept			1		
		-1	1	3		T EF					
	Average	0	0	0	•	Excellent					
	tan Mills.	1116	ar is the		124 10	200			A.		
	How would you over	rell rate the cou	rse on the scal	1 - 47 *		w Salar	GEN.			AL.	
		1	2	3	4						
			0	0		Excellent		013.			
			200	400	5.00			Det.			





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Course Feedback Action Taken

Date: 12/01/2019

Name of Staff: Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Academic Year: 2018-19

Semester: III

Course and Course Code: Pharmaceutical Engineering (BP304T)

Feedback given by students:16

Percent Feedback: 27

Question	1	2	3	4
Excellent	87.5	81.3	93.8	87.5
Very good	12.5	18.8	6.3	18.8
Good	The street of	4.11.4.1	6.2	
Fair	San	-	Barrier 1	-

Questions:

- 1. How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How will you rate overall the course?

Remarks:

Improvement in

- 1. Expanding course content with additional cutting-edge topics suggested by students.
- 2. Implementation interactive tools for enhanced learning engagement,

3. Conducting regular assessments to ensure content and presentation quality meets high standards.

002

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal

PRINCIPAL





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Feedback Analysis

Mr. Rakesh A. Khandare

Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology

Dear Sir

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmacology-1 course for the academic year 2018-2019 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No.	of Responses	(Total 4	19)
	Excell ent	Very good	Good	Fair
Were the objectives of the course clear to you	5	11	23	10
The course contents met with your expectations	10	7	27	5
The lecture sequence was well planned	15	25	6	3
The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	6	9	30	4
The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	12	34	3	0
Will you recommend this course in your program	12	24	13	0
Total responses	49			5
% Responses	81.66 %	6	110	

THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of	Responses	(Total 4	9)
	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	12	14	18	5
The teaching aids were effectively used	7	12	23	7
The course material handed out was adequate	21	05	19	4
Were the objectives of the course met	10	07	28	4
Please give overall rating of the course	8	14	24	3
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	16	07	24	2
Total responses	49		101	
% Responses	81.66 %			

Congratulations! You have received overall good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions. With Regards.

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani Principal

PRINCIPAL





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Feedback Analysis

Mr. Rakesh A. Khandare Assistant Professor Computer Lab Incharge

Dear Sir,

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Computer and internet Facility Feedback for the academic year 2018-2019 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of	Respons	es (Tota	1248)
	Exce llent	Very good	Good	Fair
How would you rate the availability of computers in the library for your use?	10	62	131	45
How would you assess the quality of the hardware, such as desktop computers and printers?	76	67	94	11
Do the projectors in the classroom function properly?	80	83	73	12
Is there enough internet access available on campus?	44	145	45	14
Do the hours for accessing the computer lab suit the needs of users?	78	112	27	31
Is the Wi-Fi connectivity functioning properly across the college campus?	28	45	128	47
Are you satisfied with the range of software and resources available on the computers in the library?	61	43	106	38
Total responses	248	ag Pil	interaction	
% Responses	82.63	%	A PER I	-197

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors. With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Mr. C. D. Upasani





IQAC

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Feedback Analysis

Mr. Rakesh A. Khandare

Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology

Dear Sir

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Human Anatomy and Physiology-I course for the academic year 2018-2019 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks. The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No.	of Responses	(Total 4	19)
	Excell	Very good	Good	Fair
Were the objectives of the course clear to you	5	11	23	10
The course contents met with your expectations	10	7	27	5
The lecture sequence was well planned	15	25	6	3
The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	6	9	30	4
The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	12	34	3	0
Will you recommend this course in your program	12	24	13	0
Total responses	49	tessa (mitralii)	TELE	
% Responses	81.66 %	6		

THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of	Responses	(Total 4	9)
	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	12	14	18	5
The teaching aids were effectively used	7	12	23	7
The course material handed out was adequate	21	05	19	4
Were the objectives of the course met	10	07	28	4
Please give overall rating of the course	8	14	24	3
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	16	07	24	2
Total responses	49	(1)	NEW YEAR	
% Responses	81.66 %	1.0	1 . 7	W. 3

Congratulations! You have received overall good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions. With Regards.

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani Principal





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Feedback Analysis Pharmaceutics I Academic Year: 2018-19

Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmaceutics.

Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmaceutics I course for the academic year 2018-19 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of	Responses	(Total	15)
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	80	13.3	6.7	
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	66.7	33.3	0	-,72
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	73.3	20	6.7	-
4.	How will you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	80	13.3	6.7	7-
5.	How will you rate overall the course?	86.7		13.3	
	Total responses	386.7	79.9	33.4	
	% Responses	77.34	15.98	6.68	0

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.







Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal


Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.








Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?

15 responses










Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Responses cannot be added

Pharmaceutics-I: Course feedback form

BP103T Pharmaceutics-I

Academic Year: 2018-19 Year /Semester: first year sem-1

* Indicates required question

Email *

nikitapatodi123@gmail.com

Name of Student *

Nikita Nemichand Patodi





SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

18-19 Pharmacoutics-I,C	ourse feedback	str.				Ð	0	1	5	C,	(Service	i	-
		0	westions Rose	ponies (D)	Settings						No Significa	C.	
	How would you rate	the course on	the scale 1 - 4	on the basis of	followers of pa	medives? *							
				1	4								
	Average	0	0	O	•	Cosel	lent						
		9 - 19		Ç.				À,					
	How would you tate other than syllabus?		the scale 1 - 4 c	on the trasts of	the content are	decwily dis	cussed						
		1	2	3	4								
	liverage	0	0	0	•	Estel	ent						1
	Park Carrie	2013/2		A Section 1	on the	Selfat.							
	How would you rate		the scale 1 - 4 c	on the basis of	presenting (Te	eching Tools	and	lai!					
	communicating cua	unty	Maria Nas	1	1000 200	e market							4
	W W	2 X	76			300000	20.32		100	777	e a fi	10	12
C # docs google com/tons	nig/teplaTTmRJ/7ngkttig/	Tara Caracita	MANAGES AND	H D 16-19-0-		* 0 H	CELEBRICA	1000	423/11/16/	mra.	2 to 1	. 0	0
C # docs google com/tons	erigyTeoLaTTimRulfTrigAttigi n Small © Apple Aurobel	CHANNE BOOK ON Y	MANAGES AND	W LOS LANGE	erienta-Lai	* 0 H	CELEBRICA	1000	423/11/16/	mra.	2) ÷ .	0	0
C # docs.google.com/form	erigyTeoLaTTimRulfTrigAttigi n Small © Apple Aurobel	CAXANTAÇO PIN T	Ehrottisch, schr	tergorus - AC-E	erienta-Lai	* 0 H	CELEBRICA	1000	423/11/16/	mra.	er o	0	0
C # dissigningle.com/form	erigyTeoLaTTimRulfTrigAttigi n Small © Apple Aurobel	cixenteko nij T	(EhrtOssit, adar estions Resp	опън Ф	ktienstarl Cour Bighha21M, Ind	• [6 нг поченент С	O O	6	423/11/16/	mra.	2 0		0
C # dissigningle.com/form	esprieduzimeutreatrio 4 Smit () Assertantei ourse feedback ()	isantako ny Ф	Discost, sold	ones (C)	writeratus I Enur Englishe 21 M Jones Intlings	x le w	O	6	423/11/16/	mra.	200		0
C # dissigningle.com/form	esertastart medit realiza- s Small	isantako ny Ф	Discost, sold	ones (C)	writeratus I Enur Englishe 21 M Jones Intlings	x le w	O	6	423/11/16/	mra.		0 1	0
C # docs google com/form	esertastart medit realiza- s Small	isantako ny P	Discost, sold	ones (C)	writeratus I Enur Englishe 21 M Jones Intlings	x le w	C)	6	423/11/16/	mra.	2 0 1		0
C # docs.google.com/form	How would you rate building cuality?	Convite CONV	essons Respons the scale 1 - 4 o	ones (C)	erteceptor Lower English Day onlines onlines arrety of exam	× 10 set	C)	6	423/11/16/	mra.			0
C # dissigninglescom/form	esprioliziment/rektroner Constitution (Constitution Constitution	Convite CONV	essons Respons the scale 1 - 4 o	ones (C)	ertacestal Lawrence to the control of the control o	× 10 set	C)	6	423/11/16/	mra.		. 0	0
C # dissigninglescom/form	esprioliziment/rektroner Constitution (Constitution Constitution	the course on t	estions Responded to the scale 1 - 4 o	ones (C)	ertacestal Lawrence to the control of the control o	× 10 set	© cept	6	423/11/16/	mra.			0
C # docs.google.com/form	How would you over:	the course on 1	estions Respondent scale 1 - 4 o	ones	interest of Energy of Example 1	x @ see	© cept	6	423/11/16/	mra.			0
C # docs.google.com/form	How would you over: Average	the course on t	estions Respondence of the scale 1 - 4 o	ones	interest of Energy of Example 1	x @ see	© cept	6	423/11/16/	mra.			0
C # dissigninglescom/form	How would you over:	the course on t	estions Respondence of the scale 1 - 4 o	ones	interest of Energy of Example 1	x @ see	© cept	6	423/11/16/	mra.			0
C # docs.google.com/form	How would you ever: Average Would you have to sup	the course on t	estions Respondence of the scale 1 - 4 o	ones	interest of Energy of Example 1	x @ see	© cept	6	423/11/16/	mra.		a ci	0
Tube 📂 Mape 🍂 Topic to PFT 👂	How would you ever: Average Would you have to sup	the course on t	estions Respondence of the scale 1 - 4 o	ones	interest of Energy of Example 1	x @ see	© cept	6	423/11/16/	mra.		aci i	0



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Course Feedback Action Taken

Date: 12/02/2019

Dist. Nachil

Name of Staff: Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Academic Year: 2018-19

Semester: I

Course and Course Code: Pharmaceutics I (BP103T)

Feedback given by students: 15

Percent Feedback: 61

Question	1	2	3	4
Excellent	80	66.7	73.3	80
Very good	13.3	33.3	20	-
Good	6.7	Mary Marie Ro	6.7	13.3
Fair		The same of the sa	W. E 1924	

Questions:

- 1. How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How will you rate overall the course?

Remarks:

Improvement in

- Expanding course content with additional cutting-edge topics suggested by students.
- Implementation interactive tools for enhanced learning engagement.

 Conducting regular assessments to ensure content and presentation quality meets high standards.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal

SNUBS SHRIMAN LUSESHOADA JAIN COLLEGI OF THE MENTAGAR

Cimitina (HASHIK)