



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Feedback Analysis Pharmaceutical Engineering Academic Year: 2021-22

Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmaceutics.

Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmaceutical Engineering course for the academic year 2021-22 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of R	esponse	(Total	45)
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	82.2	15.6	2.2	v=
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	73.3	26.7	-07	18
	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	77.8	20	2.2	-
4.	How will you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	77.8	20	2.2	-
5.	How will you rate overall the course?	77.8	20	2.2	
	Total responses			91	
	% Responses		11	191	1623

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.



SHIRING IPAL
SHIB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADAJANN
COLLEGE OF PHASHACY NEMINAGAR
CHANOVAD (MASHKI)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal

Note: Refer page 5 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfilment of objectives?

45 responses

40

30

20

10

0 (0%)

1 (2.2%)

7 (15.6%)




PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAM SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (HASHIK)



Chandwad Chandwad Dist Nachill 423101

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF DE STANACY, NEMINAGAR
OLINASHIK)




IQAC Chandwad Dist. Nashix 423101

SNJB's RIMAN SURESHDADA IAIN COLI

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD INASHIN



SNJB's

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

	- g -com se -ce coopen	form 2021-2	1055 CJ 45			0 0			Ulter	H
			July More - Man	ponen (D	Suttings					
			Si.	-	of the					
	Email+									
	penkajpaga/70092/gr	tell com								
	110000				i sente					
	Name of Student									
	Ponks Revisable Pag									
	Company of the Company									
	VA - 2500r									
	How would you rare	The course on	the scale 1 - 4	on the basis of	fulfament of obj	(ectives**				
		1	2	1						
	Average	0	0	0	•	Excellent				
bengingkeen, tom	Month of departments of the Court of Annual Co	White property	aghithe CR CAL exist	- W-40	SNI-JORENZA-I		A ₂ Zur	ENALCED.	22433XTG	1000
bengingkeen, tom	US/TMICIOVZYNjEpsiArecEi	orusispinių gro form 2021–20	eghiorDSCALledis	*response - ACYO		D O	Aprilio O	o d	MARKETS.	1000
bengingkeen, tom	UN/TAKUDVZSIK/EBBLA/WIZI K Onwr 🔘 Amse-krobel	orusispinių gro form 2021–20	eghiorDSCALledis	- W-40			Артти Ф	e d	22/33XTG	2000
bengingkeen, tom	UN/TAKUDVZSIK/EBBLA/WIZI K Onwr 🔘 Amse-krobel	inskiplerugio form 2021-20	eghini (18.0) (jedi 022 🗀 tir nesi ona <u>Res</u> o	mesperse-ACYC	ettings	ລ 0	6	o d	22/33XTG	1000
bengingkeen, tom	ustrikktöviskjägsarati • Oner	inskiplerugio form 2021-20	eghini (18.0) (jedi 022 🗀 tir nesi ona <u>Res</u> o	mesperse-ACYC	ettings	ລ 0	6	to e	22133XTG.	7000
bengingkeen, tom	ustrikktöviskjägsarati • Oner	inskiplerugio form 2021-20	eghini (18.0) (jedi 022 🗀 tir nesi ona <u>Res</u> o	mesperse-ACYO	ettings	Ω Ø eovelly discussed	6		MARKETS.	
bengingkeen, tom	un/Microsom/Egeanels • Oner • Oner • Oner • Oner	inskiplerugio form 2021-20	aghisticación de	mesperse-ACYO	ettings	ລ 0	6	tourism b e	MARKETS.	
bengingkeen, tom	Power of the Course feedback for the Course feedback from Course f	form 2021-20 0- die course on	agraticità del participa del properties del propert	ossen	ettings the content and #	Ω Ø noveRy decussed Excellent		to d	MARKETS.	
bengingkeen, tom	un/Microsom/Egeanels • Oner • Oner • Oner • Oner	form 2021-20 De course on 1	agraticità del participa del properties del propert	ossen	ettings the content and #	Ω Ø noveRy decussed Excellent		o e	MARKETS.	
bengingkeen, tom	on/MicrosomyEge.com/Line Chair	form 2021-20 De course on 1	agraticità del participa del properties del propert	ossen	ettings the content and #	Ω Ø noveRy decussed Excellent		to de	MARKETS.	
bengingkeen, tom	on/MicrosomyEge.com/Line Chair	form 2021-20 De course on 1	agraticità del participa del properties del propert	ossen	ettings the content and #	Ω Ø noveRy decussed Excellent		o e	MARKETS.	



PRINCIPAL SUSESHDADA JAIN AMASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Phormaceutical engineers	ng :Course leedback	lorm 2021-2	022 🗖 🏗			ದ ೮	0	5	G	Sand	1
			bushers Resp	omes O	kritings						
	How would you rate building quality?	the course or	the scale 1 - 4 o	on the bass of	ranety of exam	ples and concept	•				
			7 2	1	4						
	Average	0	0	0	•	Excellent			TE.		ang.
	How would you over		ume on the scal								
		1	2	1	4						
	Average	0	0	0	•	Excellent					
	- Horocratte		Not 12	Hinter Co.	of the state of						



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANOWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Course Feedback Action Taken

Date: 05/02/2022

Name of Staff: Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Academic Year: 2021-22

Semester: III

Course and Course Code: Pharmaceutical Engineering (BP304T)

Feedback given by students: 45

Percent Feedback: 75

Question	1	2	3	4
Excellent	82.2	73.3	77.8	77.8
Very good	15.6	26.7	20	20
Good	2.2	2.2	- 15	2.2
Fair	- 4			

Questions:

- 1. How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How will you rate overall the course?

Remarks:

Improvement in

- 1. Expanding course content with additional cutting-edge topics suggested by students.
- 2. Implementation interactive tools for enhanced learning engagement.
- Conducting regular assessments to ensure content and presentation quality meets high standards.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HO

PRINCIPALIPATE PARTITION

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIX)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Academic Year: 2021-22

Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmaceutics.

Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmaceutics I course for the academic year 2021-22 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of R	tesponse	(Total	25)
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	64	32	17.4	4
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	40	52	8	S===
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	76	16	8	-
4.	How will you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	48	48	4	17
5.	How will you rate overall the course?	48	48	4	
	Total responses	276	196	24	4
	% Responses	55.2	39.2	4.8	0.8

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.



PRINCIPAL

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SUFESHDADA JAIN

CCL. ST. SEMINAGER

17

423101





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.


With Regards,

Yours sincerely


Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal

Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.












Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.






PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANOMAD (NASSIM)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

21-22 Pharmacautics-1:C	ourse feedback 🗅 🛪	A.				ಏ	O	0	5	C.	12.00	
		0	Lucations Res	pontet (D	Settings							
	How would you rate to	he course on	the scale t - 4	on the trasis of	fulfilment of o	bjectives**						
			2	3								
	Everage	0	0	0	•	Excell	rm					
	How would you rate th	he course on	the scale 1 - 4	on the basis of	the content an	d novetty disp	passa					
	other than syllabus?											
		-1	2	20	40							
	Average	0	0	0	•	Eccele	nt					
	Edward and Advance each the		Ohne workship it is it is									
	How would you rate the communicating quality		the scale 1 - 4 c	withe basic of	presenting (Tex	eching Touls3	and .					
2 6	communicating quality	1/2	the scaln 1 - 4 c	on the base of	presenting (Te	eching Touls)	rid				li se i su	
or \$35E : westerough X 24 / C 6 docugooople.com/form	Communicating quality (W) (X) Heat (1236 - Europenschet) N Taken (1236 - Europenschet) N	0 1 1 1	ranum 1 Cour	. 0 44-	(S) (S) (S)	* 0 mm		per Agent			-	-
or \$550 includings X Pa /	Communicating quality (W) (C) See (1206 - Cargonication M Instal [®] Chair All Copyright (2004) The Chair (©) Acate Anabat	D THE PARK	ranum 1 Cour	. 0 44-	(S) (S) (S)	* 0 mm		per Agent			- Internation	
C & decagoopheenthre	Communicating quality (W) (C) See (1206 - Cargonication M Instal [®] Chair All Copyright (2004) The Chair (©) Acate Anabat	D THE PARK	rannetto d'Esseri uoMines D'Opqorles	× □ 15-15 M	(S) (S) (S)	* 0 mm		per Agent			- Internation	
C & decagoopheenthre	Communicating quality (W) (C) See (1206 - Cargonication M Instal [®] Chair All Copyright (2004) The Chair (©) Acate Anabat	on the state of th	rainetta (Cor) uoMher I (Ipqwilet uestions — Resp	x 11-15-19 in difference of the content of the cont	erranevisco Cour Y Ceffy (Crosc & VI Gettings	A O MAN	O C	per Agent			- Internation	
C & docugoophicanitari	Communicating quality Will Communication in the Communication in Communication in the Commun	on the state of th	rainetta (Cor) uoMher I (Ipqwilet uestions — Resp	x 11-15-19 in difference of the content of the cont	erranevisco Cour Y Ceffy (Crosc & VI Gettings	A O MAN	O C	per Agent			- Internation	
C & decagoopheenthre	Communicating quality Will Communication in the Communication in Communication in the Commun	e course on t	and the state of the state of the state 1 - 4 o	x 14-15 M diffraçonse AC onces @ 5 n the basis of	erranevisco Cour Y Ceffy (Crosc & VI Gettings	A O MAN	eat	per Agent			- Internation	
C & decagoopheenthre	Communicating quality Will (2) And (1256) - Companion of M. In Creal & Accordance M. Ourse feedback How would you rate the pullding quality?	a course on t	entrato 4 Course and the 3 Course and the state 1 - 4 o	onces © 6	arranaples I Charl v DSNy DOSC 51 vt. ettings variety of exam	(x) @ sem- massing own Au (2) ples and conc	eat	per Agent			- Internation	
C & decagoopheenthre	word 236 - a improduct N in the Class & Accordant Augment Augm	a course on t	entrato 4 Course and the 3 Course and the state 1 - 4 o	onces © 6	arranaples I Charl v DSNy DOSC 51 vt. ettings variety of exam	(x) @ sem- massing own Au (2) ples and conc	eat	per Agent			- Internation	
C & docugoophicanitari	word 236 - a improduct N in the Class & Accordant Augment Augm	a course on t	answitch Court and the state 1 - 4 o 2 court the scale	onces © 6	arranaples I Charl v DSNy DOSC 51 vt. ettings variety of exam	(x) @ sem- massing own Au (2) ples and conc	eat	per Agent			- Internation	
C & decagoopheenthre	Will Communicating quark when (1256) - amprovement is in a construction of the constr	a zi-ca Presi	answitch Court and the state 1 - 4 o 2 court the scale	onces © 6	arranaples I Charl v DSNy DOSC 51 vt. ettings variety of exam	E) Dies and conc	eat	per Agent			- Internation	



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANOWAD (NASHIN)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Course Feedback Action Taken

Date: 11/01/2022

Name of Staff: Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Academic Year: 2021-22

Semester: I

Course and Course Code: Pharmaceutics I (BP103T)

Feedback given by students: 25

Percent Feedback: 41

Question	1	2	3	4
Excellent	64	40	76	48
Very good	32	52	16	48
Good	-0 -1	8	8	W 4
Fair	4		- 4	DA -

Questions:

- 1. How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How will you rate overall the course?

Remarks:

Improvement in

- Expanding course content with additional cutting-edge topics suggested by students.
- 2. Implementation interactive tools for enhanced learning engagement.

 Conducting regular assessments to ensure content and presentation quality meets high standards.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal


PRINCIPAL

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLECT OF DEAPLIATY, NEMINAGAR

CHASHIK) (MASHIK)



(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



Feedback Analysis Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry-II

Academic Year: 2021-'22

Dr. Sandip N. Wagh

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry-II

Dear Sir,

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry-II course for the academic year 2021 - *22 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of R	tesponses	(Total	19)
		Excellent	Very	Good	Fair
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	45	04	00	00
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	39	10	00	00
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	44	05	00	00
4.	How will you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	45	03	01	00
5.	How will you rate overall the course?	45	04	00	00
VI	Total responses	218	26	01	00





PRINCIPAL SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN

COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



ESTD - 1928

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



% Responses	88.97	10.61	0.40	0.00
-------------	-------	-------	------	------

Congratulations! You have received overall excellent comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again, we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

PRIMO IPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)







(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program

Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.






PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program

Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU









PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU








PRINCIPAL
SNJ5'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

5



(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU









PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY NEIMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU








PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

7



SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN

COLLEGE OF PHARMACY





Printer (C. sandpanys) 11 1 1 FOCU			the same of the same of	
O to docupeoplecon time is a se	E-tXANA/Availant on Engaritus typin Bir Mademer pomes		0, 4	D .
Conservation Administration 5 trees	II bulbe 9 that O Abbin Limiter	Charle Marie		C) ##\$coo
EX. INC. DISC.	The state of the s			-
A S S DOMESTIA A A SOURCE TO THE			00 4 4 6	1
	Series Present C prints			
	49 responses II			
	Consider 1			
	Service Service States			
	THE THIRD DEVICE SUPPLY SHOW			

	Anazoro Naz			
	Toe derestor			
	Course from			
	Committee			
	Armor 0000 tomes			
	More refusit the representative state in a function of the limited of the control of the co			
h has it undpropriette x 0 1044	WASHINGATURES OF THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF		^ 6 Dalwi	** 0.5 2.1 0
h son it undpopulation x 0 10043	ED-COM Security on X + English Rule Registrates (Registrates and Commence (Commence of Commence of Com	We vyhedrso		
the beautiful tendence planting (# 1004) C To 640 googhe cam Parma (#1) 38 Connection for the depart Conduct S One)	ED-COM Security on X + English Rule Registrates (Registrates and Commence (Commence of Commence of Com	We vyhenso		- 0 D •
h been it tendensydering in 10043 + C T 660 google cam Permil 61,38 Concerns for 6 dead Ondres 3 Great	ED-COM Security on X + English Rule Registrates (Registrates and Commence (Commence of Commence of Com			- 0 D •
th tree is tendescriptions; in [] 10043 + C T 640 google cam Parmula 1,38 Concerns for 6 does Only 3 Great	SEN 23 FreeBack for X 4 B. COM belowed 170-04 for Easter VL Strydon Rod of Alphina Street Angus and CV (1814) principal artists (1953) D. Vendinde ? Maps & Adore Armas. Chiencon Angus and Adores Service			- 0 D •
h bree jik tendepasydattid; ix 10043 + C T feldigoogile camina mullif 1,38 mocration for the device Ondree S Great	ED 21 Fernank for X 4 BOOK Selving Control of Agent VIII in you boy the Metagon see AC (1800) plants through 1353			- 0 D •
h inter (8: tendprosphilitie): N 10043 + C 1 MeCigoophicam Permilli 1,383 mecanion for the device Ondress 3 One)	EST-COMMENT OF A STATE OF CONTRACT CONTRACTOR STATE OF A STATE OF CONTRACT CONTRACTOR CO			- 0 D •
h inter (k. innequesyddille): X 100.43 + C T (MCGgoogledam/fermulle/1,38) hocorder for & Areas Ondoor 3 One)	SEP 22 FreeBack for X 4 E-COM Selection of Other And English Copy (and English Selection) Common Copy (1964) principal or English Copy (1964) Directions Augument (a) Selection And Open (b) O O O O E-England			- 0 D •
h inter (8: tendprosphilitie): N 10043 + C 1 MeCigoophicam Permilli 1,383 mecanion for the device Ondress 3 One)	SEP 25 FreeBook for X 4 E-COM Selection SC - English Copy Septim Sc St Mark St Interpretation Copy (St Interpretation Copy (S			- 0 D •
h inter (8: tendprosphilitie): N 10043 + C 1 MeCigoophicam Permilli 1,383 mecanion for the device Ondress 3 One)	SEPT Three seals from the September			- 0 D •
h bree jik tendepasydattid; ix 10043 + C T feldigoogile camina mullif 1,38 mocration for the device Ondree S Great	SET TO Free State A from X 4 E-Cold below from 2 to 4 to 4 to 4 to 1 to 1			- 0 D •
th tree is tendescriptions; in [] 10043 + C T 640 google cam Parmula 1,38 Concerns for 6 does Only 3 Great	Secretaria from X			- 0 D •
h bree jik tendepasydattid; ix 10043 + C T feldigoogile camina mullif 1,38 mocration for the device Ondree S Great	Secretaria from X			- 0 D •
h bree jik tendepasydattid; ix 10043 + C T feldigoogile camina mullif 1,38 mocration for the device Ondree S Great	SET 22 FreeBack for X			- 0 D •
th tree is tendescriptions; in [] 10043 + C T 640 google cam Parmula 1,38 Concerns for 6 does Only 3 Great	Secretaria from X			- 0 D •
th tree is tendescriptions; in [] 10043 + C T 640 google cam Parmula 1,38 Concerns for 6 does Only 3 Great	Set of Presents from X			- 0 D •
h been it tendensydering in 10043 + C T 660 google cam Permil 61,38 Concerns for 6 dead Ondres 3 Great	Set of Presents from X			- 0 D •
bring \$4 - tandquary \$277.0 [M] 100.43 C T	Set of Presents from X			- 0 D •
bring \$4 - tandquary \$277.0 [M] 100.43 C T	Set of Presents from X			- 0 D •
h John St. Innocropy 2017 6. IN II 100-43 + C To \$400, googhe cam Permula 1, 38 Concording from & January Co-Driet St. Const.	Section of the contract of the			- 0 D •






SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)




(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program
Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



· hour 20 methody 20 Hg . I to term	MAN Divers . La COL	NA morni	D. Park	COTO		Correct		
	WhatoreadDhiride tegratic repaint tryicled the sporce ACIDENO principling typic	24535	201	av g			0	12
B Consequentary & Employed to Draft 10 to	Inform 2 Was Q Abbreviate	emerytu-	CKSOF	locai.	0,	\$ D	1	
Exchange 352 20 Feathers See El &						1.0	3 Miles	-
- William D &		r	0		5 8		. 18	3
	Owner Names O Comp							1
	Provided plants the sease on the scale 1 - 6 on the base of a eleving (Texting Toxy) and commending Country							
	2) In the second of the second							
	CA CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTO							
	0.							
	# How would provide the course paths area in 4 by the bapash up all of examples and concept Current date.							
	C-Gulf Ent füg							
All San Control	704							
	0.0							
	· ·							
D Type he've to search	E B B C O O O O O S							•
Commenter & free Cuber 5 final Q to	Made Women Districts (1986) Engels William (1986) and Strate (1986) and Control (1986) an	V-VY:Lb-KS	GOPE		4		1 1	
Economy 251 22 leading tom (5) 5	- 4		^			-	Al front	
	Comments Supplier C Matter	D	9	>	.5			ŧ
	30.0 D							
	5.							
	6.1							
	ALL AND COMMENT OF THE PARTY OF							
	5 how would provide a the course of the course () of (1675	
	CI CO							
	G.							
237	C1							
	8.							
	What pix he is appeal eightig?							
	Most six has in copped activity?							
	Most six has in copped activity?							
	Mant also have to target discretely? Here the immensions							
P tops be a league of 22	Mark the heart expect actually. The time interrupts of the control of the contro							1000
P Type have to sewith SEED BUT	Mant also have to target discretely? Here the immensions							
P Type have to severn SED EN	Mark the heart expect actually. The time interrupts of the control of the contro		• (0.0		MI 65-03-	200	









Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Date: 28/12/2021

Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Dr. Sandip N. Wagh

Academic Year: 2021-'22

Semester: III

Course and Course Code: Pharmaceutical Chemistry-II (BP301T)

Feedback given by students: 49

Percent Feedback: 82

Question	1	2	3	4	5
Excellent	45	39	44	45	45
Very good	04	10	05	03	04
Good	00	00	00	01	00
Fair	00	00	00	00	00

Questions:

- 1. How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How will you rate overall the course?

Remarks:

Though course feedback is satisfactory, in coming academic

- Course content will be expanded with additional cutting-edge topics suggested by students.
- 2. Will implement interactive tools for enhanced learning engagement.

3. Will conduct regular assessments to ensure content and presentation quality.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal PRINCIPAL JB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAI

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY NEMINAGAR

CHANDWAD INASSIK)



Feedback Analysis Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry-III Academic Year: 2021-'22

Dr. Sandip N. Wagh

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry-III

Dear Sir,

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry-III course for the academic year 2021 - '22 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 57)					
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair		
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	56	01	00	00		
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	57	00	00	00		
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	56	01	00	00		
4.	How will you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	55	02	00	00		
5.	How will you rate overall the course?	33	23	01	00		
	Total responses	257	27	01	00		
	% Responses	90	9.47	0.35	00		



PRINCIPAL

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHOADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHAR ACY, NEMINAGAR

WASHIKI

1



Congratulations! You have received overall excellent comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again, we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards, Yours sincerely


Dr. C. D. Upasani

PRINCIPAL
PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHASMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)






Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)









PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN STPESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE TO THE TY, NEMINAGAR









PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHAKMACY NEMINAGAR
CHANUWAD (NASHIK)



Pri Selan i andige applicativity privile 1. 1 1 temperature Selan	4		re or did may black before the						
· C to decrepted the control of the		2	ax 1 / 1 / 1	1200	- Q-111h	Albert Ca	N.A. R	D	•
normanities & areas dissilicia (5 deal 15 text)	2 2 mm O man	A. pas						1 (2 + 1	-
Second year feedback form 20	021-221日 ☆	All changes about the	Terror 🗘	0 0	9 5	ď	Send	1	ż
	Quest	John Responses	Settings						
Any Suggestions. (I	(Any) Short Answ	wire.			3	C Copy			
to responses	roug onotherina				1	-			
30	23 (41 7%)								
	23.17.41								
20	- 1								
	- 1								
16		4(7.5%)							
1(11/12)10	o stanostose juni	cantaga an in an inci-	mantancementa	nergipita	erneratio	HATEL SEE	Q*		
Pest wasting	B-	Ownstiesching s.	Thank you		ong sesses				
			veds to b. The c			we been w			
The water of			- 6-7		100				0
D type here to search	4911	ა ფ ∨	<u> </u>				\$ (C e) eo	(5) ₂ (5)	0 1
F boor and applithing a D Secretar to C (5 Secretary)	estes to 20 × (+)	CFR die Diffigen Ander Heister	O, et a	Chic and two	See Anna			(9) <u>=</u> 49	0
to been analyzapolitikym a Decording to C (5 decoposition of analytikymich	estes to 20 × (+)	CFR die Diffigen Ander Heister	Chy est e	CIC.aviaceo	TOWNS OF THE PARTY			(5) ₂ (5)	1
to been analyzapolitikym a Decording to C (5 decoposition of analytikymich	estect for 20 K (+)	CFR die Diffigen Ander Heister	G) at a	CIC AVOIDA	Control of			M-M	1
the board and applitude of the Second results of the Company of th	Milles for 20 K () An Art 2014/2014/2014 The P Mace () Acc	CFR die Diffigen Ander Heister		CIO SURSEMO	Qn 4 - 3 - 3 - 4 - 3 -	rs work _o	rec @ a	D	1
Pi bos anderspillitigan N Second parts C (S decepospherantismedullipset, or constant for C decepospherantismedullipset, or	MINES NO 2: N	erra de Difigios Alkada Messoco Na Asrobati		CID 3WXXE	30m4x33V	rs work _o	rec @ a	D	1
Pi bos anderspillitigan N Second parts C (S decepospherantismedullipset, or constant for C decepospherantismedullipset, or	Milles for 20 K () An Art 2014/2014/2014 The P Mace () Acc	erra de Difigios Alkada Messoco Na Asrobati		CIO SUROLAT	neostnie)	rs work _o	rec @ a	D	1
the board and applitude of the Second results of the Company of th	Miles for 20 K (4) An Arthropological States Other (2) Marc (3) And In residual States Name of States	erra de Difigios Alkada Messoco Na Asrobati		OTO SALVOCAT	V.Contribution	rs work _o	rec @ a	D	1
Pi bos anderspillitigan N Second parts C (S decepospherantismedullipset, or constant for C decepospherantismedullipset, or	NOME OF STATE OF STAT	erra de Difigios Alkada Messoco Na Asrobati	D Mary	The gallegian	San Association	rs work _o	rec @ a	D	1
the board and applitude of the Second results of the Company of th	No. Media DOS NO. 20 A confidence of the Confide	Alexandre Manager Andrews (Constitution of Alexandre Manager Andrews Manager Andrews (Constitution of Andrews Andrews (Constitution of Andrews (Co	D Mary	and a second		rs work _o	rec @ a	D	1
Pi bos anderspillitigan N Second parts C (S decepospherantismedullipset, or constant for C decepospherantismedullipset, or	have a storm and the storm the storm and thought and the storm throught and the storm throught and storm throught and storm throught and storm and and	Alexandra Managaria (Caranta de Alexandra de	D Mary	CIO JUNGO		rs work _o	rec @ a	D	1
Pi bos anderspillitigan N Second parts C (S decepospherantismedullipset, or constant for C decepospherantismedullipset, or	No. Media DOS NO. 20 A confidence of the Company and professional of the Company and the Compa	Alexandra Managaria (Caranta de Alexandra de	D Mary	STO ZUNGENO		rs work _o	rec @ a	D	1
Proposition of the Colonia Col	Manufacture 2: M () Manufacture 2:	ANR Jo Differs Andrew Cooker Associate Management Cooker Co	D lettops	CIO ZUNGENO		rs work _o	rec @ a	D	1
Proposition of the Colonia Col	Manufacture 2: M () Manufacture 2:	ANR Jo Diffyros Anada in escocio ha Assoluti Manazione — Responsas — (I Manazione — Responsas — (I Manazione — Responsas — (I)	D lettops	CIO JUNGAN		rs work _a	rec @ a	D	1
Proposition of the Colonia Col	Manufacture 2: M () Manufacture 2:	ANR Jo Differs Andrew Cooker Associate Management Cooker Co	D lettops	CIO JANGEN		rs work _a	rec @ a	D	1
Process and application of a Second resistant of Company of the Co	NO. WILLIAM OF MARK OF ACT OF THE PARK OF	ANR Jo Differs Andrew Cooker Associate Management Cooker Co	D lettops	CIO 34 VOLET		rs work _a	rec @ a	D	1
Process and application of a Second resistant of Company of the Co	MONECONO 20 M 4 MANAGEMENT OF A COMMISSION OF	ANR Jo Differs Andrew Cooker Associate Management Cooker Co	D lettops	TIO SALVESCHIE	T. Contribution of the Con	rs work _a	rec @ a	D	1
M. poor involves 100 per M. D. Second per to d. C. S. decaposphacon formula 11 per Co. company for d. decaposphacon S. Creel. El to	MONECONO 20 M 4 MANAGEMENT OF A COMMISSION OF	AND DESCRIPTION AND A PRODUCTION OF THE PRODUCTI	D lettops	TIO SALESCEN	V.Couching .	rs work _a	rec @ a	D	1
Process and application of a Second resistant of Company of the Co	MODES for 20 K 1	AND DESCRIPTION AND A PRODUCTION OF THE PRODUCTI	D lettops	To surgist		rs work _a	rec @ a	D	1



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PURCHACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDINAD (NASHIK)



+ = C to Innapregion	COMPANY OF THE SAME AND ADMITTANCE TO AND ZOTO OF A COMPANY OF A CATAMOR	HINDER MEDICAL PROPERTY AND A SECOND	0 1
	One Sides @ tuller O time @ total brete		D Albuman
D Serve per tick as for all	graphore to a second	0000	
	Outrees Arguires CO Adequ		
	the expensives may have easily neighboring		
	Gr. con		
	0.4		
		× 1-04	
	The business of the name of the state of state of		
	æ ≈		
	3.5		
	Finals guestion at rating to the course		
	60 + 55*MA		
	0.4494		
	1, 6,41%		0
AND RESIDENCE ASSESSMENT OF THE PARTY OF THE	TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT		- 0 ×
to the section of the	*		- 0 ×
by dear and property of the second se	One of the board of the Control		- 0 ×
De deux moderne différe De 1. Societaniste de Communication de deux Dec	Construction of the Constr	2005c	- 0 X
De deux moderne différe De 1. Societaniste de Communication de deux Dec	Cuestions Responses 100 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	2005c	- 0 ×
by dear and property of the second se	Committee to the Committee Committee Committee to the Committee Co	2005c	- 0 ×
by dear and any arrivary	Complete Colored per beneath from 20 H 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2005c	- 0 ×
by dear and any arrivary	Constitute of Student Number of Student State of Student	2005c	- 0 ×
De deux moderne différe De 1. Societaniste de Communication de deux Dec	N Decret per herech for 21 N + con to multi-finish british Q Wen	2005c	- 0 X
De deux moderne différe De 1. Societaniste de Communication de deux Dec	Constitute of Student Number of Student State of Student	2005c	- 0 ×
De deux moderne différe De 1. Societaniste de Communication de deux Dec	N Decret per herech for 21 N + con to multi-finish british Q Wen	2005c	- 0 ×
De deux moderne différe De 1. Societaniste de Communication de deux Dec	Committee with the part of the second form (1) in the second form of the second form (2) in the second form (3) in	2005c	- 0 ×
De deux moderne différe De 1. Societaniste de Communication de deux Dec	Constitute of student Name of student Character (Character) Character (Character) Character (Character) Character (Character) Advance (Character) Advance (Character) Advance (Character)	2005c	- 0 ×
to place and applications to C to decaying to Consistent from the desire Con-	Constitute of Student Charden Constitute of Student Charden Constitute of Student Consti	2005c	- 0 ×
to place and applications to C to decaying to Consistent from the desire Con-	Committee multiplicity products from 200 March 19 March 1	2005c	- 0 ×
Section and purpositive and the section a	Committee and St. Topical production for the Committee and St. Committee and Com	2005c	- 0 X



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY REGINAGAR
CHANGWAL AMSHIK)

7



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Date: 08/06/2022

423101

Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Dr. Sandip N. Wagh

Academic Year: 2021-'22

Semester: IV

Course and Course Code: Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry-III (BP401T)

Feedback given by students: 57

Percent Feedback: 83

Question	1	2	3	4	5
Excellent	56	57	56	55	33
Very good	01	00	01	02	23
Good	00	00	00	00	01
Fair	00	00	00	00	00

Questions:

- How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How will you rate overall the course?

Remarks:

Though course feedback is satisfactory, in coming academic

- 1. Course content will be expanded with additional cutting-edge topics suggested by students.
- 2. Will implement interactive tools for enhanced learning engagement.
- 3. Will conduct regular assessments to ensure content and presentation quality.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal

PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMIMAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Feedback Analysis

IQAC Changwad 423101

URES

Dr. Manojkumar S. Mahajan

Associate Proessor

Department of Pharmacology.

Dear Sir,

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the BP602T Pharmacology III course for the academic year 2021-22 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE


Parameter(s)	No. of	Responses	(Total	-)
	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
Were the objectives of the course clear to you	46	16	02	00
The course contents met with your expectations	45	18	01	00
The lecture sequence was well planned	47	16	01	00
The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	45	15	04	00
The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	46	17	01	00
Will you recommend this course in your program	49	13	02	00
Total	Her I	64	4.14	A.
% Responses	72.39	24.73	2.86	00



PRINCIPAL SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHOADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY (12 1 14 CHANDWAD (Nº 500)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



2. THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total)					
The loctures	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair		
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	49	14	01	00		
The teaching aids were effectively used	50	14	00	00		
The course material handed out was adequate	48	14	02	00		
Were the objectives of the course met	48	15	01	00		
Please give overall rating of the course	50	13	01	00		
responses	A .	64	101	00		
% Responses	76.56	21.87	1.56	00		

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani
PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRRINCIPAESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR

CHANGWAD (NASHIK)

Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.

TRESHO

CHANDWAD DIST. NASHIK PIN-423101

PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDAD & IAIN
COLLE SOF PHARE ACT NESHBOORS
TANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Feedback Analysis

Dr. Manojkumar S. Mahajan

Associate Proessor

Department of Pharmacology.

Dear Sir,

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the BP503T Pharmacology II course for the academic year 2021-22 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total)					
	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair		
Were the objectives of the course clear to you	47	12	04	00		
The course contents met with your expectations	42	17	01	00		
The lecture sequence was well planned	48	12	03	00		
The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	47	12	04	00		
The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	42	17	04	00		
Will you recommend this course in your program	47	13	01	00		
responses	· 新洲	63	V.,150			
% Responses	72.22	21.95	4.49	00		



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SUBESHOOD A JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMAN
CHANDWAD



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



2. THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total)					
The Land	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair		
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	45	18	00	00		
The teaching aids were effectively used	47	12	04	00		
The course material handed out was adequate	48	12	03	00		
Were the objectives of the course met	49	13	01	00		
Please give overall rating of the course		-333	0.500	TOTAL .		
1001/100 1100	47	14	02	00		
responses	N. A.	63				
% Responses	74.92	21.90	3.17	00		

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN

COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR

CHANDWAD (NASHIK)
Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.

CHANDWAD DIST. NASHIK

PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADAJAIN COLLE . "F PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Feedback Analysis

Mr. Rakesh A. Khandare

Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology

Dear Sir

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmacology-1 course for the academic year 2021-2022 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 51)					
	Excell	Very good				
Were the objectives of the course clear to you	10	04	29	8		
The course contents met with your expectations	09	06	31	5		
The lecture sequence was well planned	30	07	12	2		
The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	11	07	29	4		
The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	29	08	14	0		
Will you recommend this course in your program	21	16	12	2		
Total responses	51					
% Responses	80.29 %					

THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 51)					
	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair		
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	8	24	16	3		
The teaching aids were effectively used	7	22	17	5		
The course material handed out was adequate	25	15	7	4		
Were the objectives of the course met	14	23	11	3		
Please give overall rating of the course	7	22	19	3		
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	14	21	14	2		
Total responses	51					
% Responses	80.29 %					

Congratulations! You have received overall good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions. With Regards.

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY.

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



2021-22 COURSE FEEDBACK FORM

Academic Year 2021-22

Class: Third Year B. Pharm. Semester-VI

Subject: Medicinal Chemistry-III (BP601T) Theory

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 25/62)				
10-22	Excellent (4)	Very good (3)	Good (2)	Average (1)	
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives	17	07	01		
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	19	05	01		
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?	19	06	(1/ 1	**	
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	22	03	-		
How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?	19	06	-		
Would you like to suggest anything?	7	No			
Total responses	25	25	25	25	
% Responses	40	40	40	40	

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives
25 responses

20
15
10
5
0 (0%)
1 (4%)



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHASSILLOV, NEMINAGAR
CHANDSSAD (NASHIK)




SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU







PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY URLEST

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.


NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



Action Taken:

- The overall course feedback by students was shown satisfactory. The satisfaction towards the course understanding, objectives, and outcome as fulfilled by the students were verified through their excellent results.
- For more fruitful outcomes, through assignments, Seminars will be conducted.

Google form Response Document



(H. V. Shahanty horre Course in charge Museran

Dr. C. D. Upasani Principal

PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRMAN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAO (NASHK)

CHANOWAD CHA

ESTD - 1928

SNJBs

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY


(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU

JRES, 24C rawad Centuk 101

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?

33




How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?

[Copy

[Copy





Would you like to suggest anything?

24 responses

20 15 10 1 (4.2%) 1 (4.2%) Na 110

1 (4.2%)

No suggestions

3 (12.5%)

1 (4.2%)

□ Сору

good teaching

CHANDWAD

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

ESTD-1928

SNJBs

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU




How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?

Copy

24 responses


30



How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?

□ Copy


24 responses



Would you like to suggest anything?

24 responses

□ Сору



3 (125%)

1 (4.2%)

11011



PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU

О Сору How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4? 15 tesponses 15 010% Would you like to suggest anything? Copy 25 responses 15 10 5 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) tie suggestions good teaching

Action Taken:

- The overall course feedback by students was shown satisfactory. The satisfaction towards the course understanding, objectives, and outcome as fulfilled by the students were verified through their excellent results.
- For more fruitful outcomes, group discussion by giving one topic will be employed.

(H. V. Shahare) Course in-charge

HOD

aesmond

Dr. C. D. Upasani

TOA.C

Dist Hashik 423101

OF OF PH

Principal
PRINCIPAL
SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU




2021-22 COURSE FEEDBACK FORM

Academic Year 2021-22

Class: Third Year B. Pharm. Semester-VI

Subject: Medicinal Chemistry-III (BP607P) Practical

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 24/62)				
	Excellent (4)	Very good (3)	Good (2)	Average (1)	
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives	19	04	01	0.75	
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	17	06	01	- 37	
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?	21	03	Á.		
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	20	04		-	
How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?	19	05	-	W #	
Would you like to suggest anything?	1 11	No			
Total responses	24	24	24	24	
% Responses	39	39	39	39	





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)


SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY


(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU ICAC
Chandwad
Dist. Harbit
423101

Copy

How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?





Action Taken:

- The overall course feedback by students was shown satisfactory. The satisfaction towards the course understanding, objectives, and outcome as fulfilled by the students were verified through their excellent results.
- For more fruitful outcomes, individual skill and hand will be developed on particular experiments.

(H. Shahare)

Course in-charge

400

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal PRINCIPAL SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

E510 - 1928

SNJBs

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and D Copy novelty discussed other than syllabus? 24 responses 15 10 5 1 (4.2%) 0 (0%) How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Copy Tools) and communicating quality? 24 responses 32 20 10 0 (0%) 0 (0%) #3 (12.5%) 2 4 How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples □ Сору and concept building quality? 24 responses 20 15 10



0 (0%)

0 (0%)

PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



2021-22 COURSE FEEDBACK FORM

Academic Year 2021-22

Class: Third Year B. Pharm. Semester-V

Subject: Medicinal Chemistry-II (BP501T) Theory

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 24/62)				
	Excellent (4)	Very good (3)	Good (2)	Average (1)	
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives	19	05	-		
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	16	07	01		
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?	19	05		-	
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	21	03	-	hy C	
How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?	17	07	-	**	
Would you like to suggest anything?		No			
Total responses	24	24	24	24	
% Responses	39	39	39	39	



PRINCIPAL
SHUB'S SHRIMAN SURE DAGA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMAC INSMINAGAR
CHANDWAD INASHIK)



SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU

			(Kurai Area) Awarded	r by SFFU
How would you	rate the course o	on the scale 1 - 4 on t	he basis of fulfillment of	Copy
objectives			we easis or remainent of	(C) Copy
24 responses				
20			1	1 6 4
15			and the second	19 (79.2%)
10			The state of the s	
5				
0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (20.8%)	
	1	2 11 1	3	CONTRACTION OF THE PARTY OF THE
How would you novelty discust 24 responses	ou rate the course o	on the scale 1 - 4 on ti llabus?	ne basis of the content and	[] Сору
15				16 (68.7%) am
10			STATE AND THE REST	
5			7 (292%)	
0	0 (0%)	1 (4.2%)		
1	1	2	3	4
N*	ou rate the course o	on the scale 1 - 4 on ti	ne basis of presenting (Teachin	© Сору
24 responses				
20				1
15				19 (79.2%)
10			4 5	
5				位 加速器
	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (20.8%)	
(1)	1 1 500	2	, s	



PRIMCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

ESTD - 1928

SNJBs

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.


NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU

IOAC Chandwad Diet, flashik 423101

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?

Copy






Copy

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?


31 responses



Copy

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?

31 responses



Chromonon A

PRINGIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SUKESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PRAGUSCY KEMINAGAR
AGARDAN CHANGAR



SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU

COURSE FEEDBACK FORM 2020-21

Academic Year 2020-21

Class: Third Year B. Pharm. Semester-IV

Subject: Medicinal Chemistry-II (501T) Theory

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 31/62)				
	Excellent (4)	Very good (3)	Good (2)	Average (1)	
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives	24	07			
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	21	08	02		
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?	22	.08	01		
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	21	09	01	-	
How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?	22	08	01	-	
Would you like to suggest anything?					
Total responses	31	31	31	2.	
% Responses	50	50	50	31 50	

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives

31 responses

20

10

0 (0%) 0 (0%)

1 2 3



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRMAN SURESHDADA JAIK
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANOWAD (NASHIK)

31 responses

SNJBs

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU

3

How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?


Copy



SUPES.



2



Action Taken:

- The overall course feedback by students was shown satisfactory. The satisfaction towards the course understanding, objectives, and outcome as fulfilled by the students were verified through their excellent results.
- For more fruitful outcomes, through assignments, Seminars will be conducted.

(H. W.Shahare)

Course in-charge

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal

SHUA

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SUBESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHY. L. ACY MEMINAGAR CHANDWAC (NASHIR)

Feedback Analysis Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry-I Academic Year: 2021-'22

Dr. Charulata T. Nemade

Associate Professor, HOD

Department of Pharmacognosy

Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry-I course for the academic year 2021-'22 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 54)					
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair		
1.	fulfillment of objectives?	23	26	3	2		
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	23	19	10	2		
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	21	22	7	4		
4.	How would you rate the course on the scale I - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	30	13	7	4		
5.	How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?	26	12	2	3		
	Total responses	123	92	29	15		
	% Responses	45.55%	34.07%	10.74%	5.55%		

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued





support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani PriRRINCIPAL SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADAJAIN


COLLEGE OF PHAR MACY NEMINAGAR

Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.




 How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives? (Copy

54 responses



2. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?


54 responses



3. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?

□ Серу

54 responses






4. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality $^\circ$

D Denv


54 responses



5. How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?

Copy

54 responses



6. Would you like to suggest anything?

54 responses

No

No

No any suggestions

Nothing

Mam, You Teach Very Well, All Concepts Are Clear

Teaching good







SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Pcog & Phyto-12022-2023 口 ☆









Questions Responses Settings

Total posits 5

0 of 0 points

Score (6 mail + 2 May 27 2 27 2 M

P'cog & Phyto-I 2022-2023 Course Feedback Form

Program B. Pharmacy Academic year: 2022-2023

Year/Semester: Second year B. pharmacy Sem IV

Course title: Pharmacognosy & Phytochemistry-I (Theory)

Course Code: BP405T

Faculty In Charge Dr. Charulata T. Nemade

· Indicates required question

Write your name here. *

Aarya Gujrani

Add individual feedback







SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

 How would you objectives? 	rate the course	on the scale 1	- 4 on the basi	s of fulfillment	of *	÷
	1	2	3	4		
Average	0	0	0	•	Excellent	
Add individual feedb	ack					
How would you novelty discussed or			- 4 on the basi	s of the content	and *	0
	1	2	3	4		
Average	0	0	•	0	Excellent	
Add individual feedb	ack					
How would you (Teaching Tools) and			- 4 on the basi	s of presenting	٠	0
	1	2	3	4		
Average	0	•	0	0	Excellent	
Add individual feedb	ack					
 How would you examples and conce 			- 4 on the basi	s of variety of	•	4
	1	2	3	4		
Average	0	•	0	0	Excellent	
Add individual feedb	ack					







SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

 How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 -
--

Add individual feedback

6. Would you like to suggest anything? *

All was perfect

Add individual feedback

500m TeC 5 27 22 F 12 2 M





Feedback Analysis Herbal Drug Technology Academic Year: 2021-'22

Dr. Charulata T. Nemade

Assistant Professor, HOD

Department of Pharmacognosy

Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Herbal Drug Technology course for the academic year 2021-*22 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total			42)	
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	
	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	26	16	0	0	
	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	26	14	2	0	
	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	26	15	1	0	
C	How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	25	16	1	0	
	How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?	20	19	3	0	
	Total responses	123	70	7	0	
	% Responses	37.84%	21.53%	2.15%	0%	

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued

support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards.

Yours sincerely

Dr. CDR 184

Dr. CRINGLEAL

PHINGS HANDAGE SHONDA JAM

OCITE CENTRAL PHANDAGE (NASHIK)

Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.








SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



2. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?

20 26 (61.9%)
10 2 (4.8%)
0 1 2 3 4








SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

3 How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?

[Copy


42 responses



4. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality? □ Сору

42 responses


42 responses



5. How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?

Copy

20 (47.6%) 30 (47.6%) 30 (47.6%) 30 (47.6%) 3 (7.1%) 4 5





Feedback Analysis Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry-II Academic Year: 2021-'22

Dr. Charulata T. Nemade

Associate Professor, HOD

Department of Pharmacognosy

Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry-II course for the academic year 2021-'22 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 45				
	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	
 How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives? 	29	13	2	1	
2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	24	19	2	0	
3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	27	14	4	0	
4. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	27	17	0	1	
 How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4? 	22	16	4	3	
Total responses	129	79	12	05	
% Responses	57.33%	35.11%	5.33%	2.22%	

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued



support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely


Dr. PRINESPAL


SINDEIAS IN SURESHOADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)


Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.
























Google Forms





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)