



Chindwad

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Feedback Analysis Pharmaceutical Engineering Academic Year: 2019-20

Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmaceutics.

Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmaceutical Engineering course for the academic year 2019-20 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

2. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of R	esponses	(Total	12)
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	75	16.7	8.3	100
	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	75	16.7	8.3	-
	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	75	16.7	8.3	-
-	How will you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	66.7	25	8.3	-
5. 1	How will you rate overall the course?	75	8.3	16.7	
	Total responses	366.7	83.4	49.9	
	% Responses	73.34	16.68	9.98	940

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PUREMACY NEMINAGAR
CHARDING NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal

Note: Refer page 5 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfilment of objectives?

12 responses

10.0

7.5

5.0

2.5

0 (0%) 1 (0.3%)



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)




SNJB's

Chandwad List Nashik 423101

OF PHAP


SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Copy Tools) and communicating quality?

12 responses



PRINCIPAL

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY NEMINAGAR
CHANDANO NASSANI






SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples

Copy

12 responses


and concept building quality?



How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?

Copy

12 responses



CHANGWAO DIST N SHIP PHA-92 191 PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



URESH IQAC Chandwad 42310

COFOFP


Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Responses cannot be edited

Pharmaceutical Engineering :Course feedback

form **BP304T Pharmaceutical Engineering** Academic Year: 2019-20 Year /Semester: Second year sem- III * Indicates required question Email * sanskrutishinde8@gmail.com Name of Student * Shinde Sanskruti Bajirao to the MATE brokenburger's X | \$5 this CAC) depression X | \$6 though dispersion X | 10 the CAC) depression X | \$6 though dispersion X | \$1 the CAC | \$10 the ← → C | ● deagooglecondureMittleCottgSoftGSOcttomMisCQHUmsSoftyeCottophesesesesCottophesesCRITASCOttoH Hamila (CottosCotto)CCL | 67 Cc ER Wollde C Maps of Toronto For Pro Orant O Acute Foreign 19-20 Pharmaceut/cal Engineering:Course feedback 🗀 약 Questions Rosconses (D Settings How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfament of objectives? * How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the contest and nevelty discussed other than syllabus? Now would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and







Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

9-20 Philippaceutical Engine	coring Course feeds	wick 🗀 🖔				5)	0	0	5	4	ject.	1
	562,000	6	estore Faq	oren () 5	ertings							
	Philage					E.E. a.Wa	-					
	How would you rate to communicating quali		ne scale 1 - 6 e	n the basis of p	presenting (Tea	iching faciti)	and					
707.4		1	1									
	Average	0	0	CV	•	Escella	ert					
1400 / 1	1996-000	0.00	JISTA		10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100	32.0					
	and the same				FEETE S							
	How would you rate to building quality?	the course on	the scale 1 +4 c	n tre basis of	variety of exam	ples and cond	ept.					
			2		4							
	Average	0	0	O	•	Esculla	nt					
	11 432 CT 6 C		11.11656									
E then a Top on ST H to	тикноворных охо	the second section of the second section of	and the second second	that there were an arranged to	A SERVICE TO SERVICE	The second second		- 10 to 60	ALC:		#P 25	
(80) - umbrourgere: K j Pr hoe 2	THICHTISTSPISSONS IN	mTVVCQ/Hurt	SWC WIPUES	that there were an arranged to	A SERVICE TO SERVICE	The second second		- 10 to 60	S S	e de	P 81	i (a)
2011 - umaknourgener K. j. Ph. Inco.	THICHTISTSPISSONS IN	nerwschillere back 🗀 s	non for illette de	жероск AC	A SERVICE TO SERVICE	rvnost dann		- 10 to 60	5	e e	P 3.	
(80) - umbrourgere: K j Pr hoe 2	THICHTISTSPISSONS IN	nerwschillere back 🗀 s	non for illette de	* eurocee-ACV	ORMAN HISTORY	rvnost dann	G.	- 10 to 60	D C	ď		1 (A)
(80) - umbrourgere: K j Pr hoe 2	THE STATE OF SECULAR S	neck CI s	one family trees	onaics (D)	etings	លេកនៅកា ឯ	G.	- 10 to 60	Þ	e e	2° € 1	0
(80) - umbrourgere: K j Pr hoe 2	Proceedings Proceding Course feed Average	neck CI s	one family trees	onaics (D)	etings	លេកនៅកា ឯ	G.	- 10 to 60	Ď	pt.	28:	
(80) - umbrourgere: K j Pr hoe 2	Proceedings Proceding Course feed Average	back C s	rections Response on the scale	onses 😉 5	etings	លេកនៅកា ឯ	(P	- 10 to 60	5	e e	2.5	
(80) - umbrourgere: K j Pr hoe 2	Average Would you like to sug	back S	rections Resp O rec on the scal 2	onses (5)	Chinh least (VC)	Excele	(P	- 10 to 60	5	T v	2 € 1	
(80) - umbrourgere: K j Pr hoe 2	Average	back S	rections Resp O rec on the scal 2	onses (5)	Chinh least (VC)	Excele	()	•	P	2		







Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Course Feedback Action Taken

Date: 10/01/2020

423101

Name of Staff: Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Academic Year: 2019-20

Semester: III

Course and Course Code: Pharmaceutical Engineering (BP304T)

Feedback given by students:12

Percent Feedback: 20

Question	1 3 / K	2	3	4
Excellent	75	75	75	75
Very good	16.7	16.7	16.7	8.3
Good	8.3	8.3	8.3	16.7
Fair	TOUS ON THE	Middle-citate	100	commune.

Questions:

- 1. How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How will you rate overall the course?

Remarks:

Improvement in

- Expanding course content with additional cutting-edge topics suggested by students.
- 2. Implementation interactive tools for enhanced learning engagement.

 Conducting regular assessments to ensure content and presentation quality meets high standards.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal PRINCIPAL

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAF CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Feedback Analysis Pharmaceutics I Academic Year: 2019-20

Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmaceutics.

Dear Madam


Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmaceutics I course for the academic year 2019-20 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of R	tesponse	(Total	40)
		Excellent	Very	Good	Fair
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	80	17.5	2.5	1023
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	85	12.5	2.5	R V
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	85	15	1.5	-
4.	How will you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	72.5	25	2.5	45
5.	How will you rate overall the course?	77.5	22,5	al'i se ant	
	Total responses	400	82.5	7.5	
	% Responses	80	16.5	1.5	0

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.



PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL

ACYNE CLAGAR

CACHAR CLAGAR

CACHAR CLAGAR



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.


With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal

Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.





PRINCIPAL

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN

COLLEGE OF PHAFMACY, NEMINAGAR


CHANDYJAD (NASHIK)


SURES

Dist. Nashii 423101




Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.







Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.





PRINCIPAL

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHAEMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDYVAD (NASHIK)

9

Chandwad Dist Nashik 423101





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Responses cannot be edited

Pharmaceutics-I: Course feedback form

BP103T Pharmaceutics-I Academic Year: 2019-20 Year / Semester: first year sem- I Indicates required question Email * rinkeshzanjari602@gmail.com Name of Student * Rinkesh Zanjari How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfilment of objectives? * 0 Average Excellent How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed * other than syllabus? 0 Average Excellent



PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SUFESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

	100	2	3	4	
Average	0	0	0	•	Excellen
How would you rate building quality?	the course on t	he scale 1 - 4 or	the basis of	variety of exam	ples and conc
	1.0	2	3	4	
Average	0	0	•	0	Excellen
How would you over	all rate the cou	rse on the scale	1-4?*		1115-25
	1	2 10	3	4	
Average	0	0	•	. 0	Excellent
				Transition of the same	MANAGER CHAIR





PRINCIPAL SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHAR AND ARMINAGAR CHARDARD (RASHIK)

IQAC



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Course Feedback Action Taken

Date: 07/07/2020

423101

Name of Staff: Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Academic Year: 2019-20

Semester: I

Course and Course Code: Pharmaceutics 1 (BP103T)

Feedback given by students: 40

Percent Feedback: 61

Question	1	2	3	4
Excellent	80	85	85	72.5
Very good	17.5	12.5	15	22.5
Good	2.5	2.5	J-5-11	2.5
Fair	A A CONTRACTOR	10 12 12 10 (n -	Scy-sol.	110 80

Questions:

- 1. How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How will you rate overall the course?

Remarks:

Improvement in

- 1. Expanding course content with additional cutting-edge topics suggested by students.
- 2. Implementation interactive tools for enhanced learning engagement.

 Conducting regular assessments to ensure content and presentation quality meets high standards.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal

PRINCIPAL

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Feedback Analysis

Mr. Rakesh A. Khandare

Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology

Dear Sir

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmacology-1 course for the academic year 2019-2020 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 48)					
	Excell ent	Very good		Fair		
Were the objectives of the course clear to you	4	11	25	8		
The course contents met with your expectations	12	7	24	5		
The lecture sequence was well planned	15	25	6	2		
The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	7	12	25	4		
The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	16	30	2	0		
Will you recommend this course in your program	14	22	12	0		
Total responses	48	DEL BUILDING	ogg in th			
% Responses	81.35 %					

THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

teaching aids were effectively used course material handed out was adequate	No. of Responses (Total 48)					
	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair		
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	10	16	19	3		
The teaching aids were effectively used	5	15	23	5		
The course material handed out was adequate	21	7	16	4		
Were the objectives of the course met	10	11	24	3		
Please give overall rating of the course	8	19	18	3		
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	18	06	22	2		
Total responses	48		- W W			
% Responses	81.35 %			- 1		

Congratulations! You have received overall good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions. With Regards.

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Feedback Analysis

Mr. Rakesh A. Khandare Assistant Professor Computer Lab Incharge

Dear Sir,

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Computer and internet Facility Feedback for the academic year 2019-2020 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

2. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)		No. of Responses (Total 2:			
	Exce llent	Very good	Good	Fair	
How would you rate the availability of computers in the library for your use?	10	62	137	45	
How would you assess the quality of the hardware, such as desktop computers and printers?	71	66	106	11	
Do the projectors in the classroom function properly?	80	83	79	12	
Is there enough internet access available on campus?	44	145	49	14	
Do the hours for accessing the computer lab suit the needs of users?	74	112	37	31	
Is the Wi-Fi connectivity functioning properly across the college campus?	28	45	134	47	
Are you satisfied with the range of software and resources available on the computers in the library?	61	43	112	38	
Total responses	254			70	
% Responses	83.41	%			

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors. With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Mr. C. D. Upasani

PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARIMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Feedback Analysis

423101

Mr. Rakesh A. Khandare

Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology

Dear Sir

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Human Anatomy and Physiology-I course for the academic year 2019-2020 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No.	of Responses	(Total 4	8)
	Excell ent	Very good	Good	Fair
Were the objectives of the course clear to you	4	11	25	8
The course contents met with your expectations	12	7	24	5
The lecture sequence was well planned	15	25	6	2
The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	7	12	25	4
The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	16	30	2	0
Will you recommend this course in your program	14	22	12	0
Total responses	48	100	77.1	
% Responses	81.35 %	ACRES SE	0	196

THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of	Responses	(Total 4	8)
	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	10	16	19	3
The teaching aids were effectively used	5	15	23	5
The course material handed out was adequate	21	7	16	4
Were the objectives of the course met	10	11	24	3
Please give overall rating of the course	8	19	18	3
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	18	06	22	2
Total responses	48			A Par
% Responses	81.35 %	100	7	

Congratulations! You have received overall good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions. With Regards.

Yourş sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Feedback Analysis Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry-III Academic Year: 2019-'20

Dr. Sandip N. Wagh

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry-III

Dear Sir,

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry-III course for the academic year 2019 - '20 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of R	Responses	(Total	37)
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	24	12	01	00
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	29	08	00	00
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	26	11	00	00
4.	How will you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	29	07	01	00
5.	How will you rate overall the course?	27	10	00	00
- 200	Total responses	135	48	02	00
	% Responses	72.97	25.94	1.09	0,00

Congratulations! You have received overall excellent comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SHRESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAR (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again, we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SUSSESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PRISE THE MINAGAR

CHEMINAL MASHIK)






Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.




Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.




CHANDWAD DIST. NASHIK PIN- 423101

PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SHRESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHAIR JACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANOWALLENS SHAPE

al. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESPOADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMAC AS AGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)




IQAC

Chandwad Dist. Nashik

423101

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



1


PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASY'S)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMADA JAIN
COLLEGE TO THE MEMINAGAR
COLLEGE TO THE MASHIK)



SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



POC-III 2019-'20 Feedback form 🗀 🌣 🦠		Ω	0	0	5	c	Send		1
Question	s Responses @	Settings							
	for .								
How would you rate the course on the	e scale 1 · 4 on the	basis of full	filment	of obje	ctives	1	•		
And the second hames				8		414	9 Tr		
0.4							E		
() () () () () () () () () ()	L L								
0.4			1					100	
	and and								
2. How would you rate the course on the discussed other than syllabus? The best to sents.	COUNTY OF THE STATE OF THE STAT	e 2				1852	\$ 124 ED	ero ec	98.TI
Securised other than syllabus? Type here to search Type here to search	e in v G	and the same					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ď	9114
Ciscussed other than syllabus? Speciments sewer Signature Figure 2019 20 September 16 September	e in v G	and the same	0	0	5	e	nounce.	Ď	
Discussed other than syllabus? The best to sent to sent to the syllabus? The best to sent to the syllabus to	Year Columbia	្រ ប្រ		0	9	e	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ď	914
Discussed other than syllabus? The best to sent to the control of	Year Columbia	្រ ប្រ		0	9	d	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ď	914
Ciscossed other than syllabus? The best to sent to se	Year Columbia	្រ ប្រ		0	9	e		Ď	914
Discussed other than syllabus? The best to sent the sent than the sent to sent sent	Year Columbia	្រ ប្រ		0	9	e	Sand G	Ď	914
Descriptions of the Course of	Year Columbia	្រ ប្រ		0	9	e	Send G	Ď	914
Discussed other than syllabus? The box services of the servic	Year Columbia	្រ ប្រ		0	9	e	Sand G G Tr	Ď	914
Discussed other than syllabus? The best to sent the sent than syllabus? Discussed other than syllabus?	Pecconas Sacret 4 on the b	D Settings passes of the	Content	0	9	e	© 17 III	Ď	914





PRINCIPAL

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.






PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN
COLLEGE OF PHAT
CHANGWAD (CHANGWAD (CHANGWAD)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Google Forms



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHOADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Date: 28/05/2020

Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Dr. Sandip N. Wagh

Semester: IV Academic Year: 2019-'20

Course and Course Code: Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry-III (BP401T)

Percent Feedback: 62 Feedback given by students: 37

Question	1	2	3	4	5
Excellent	24	29	26	29	27
Very good	12	08	11	07	10
Good	01	00	00	00	01
Fair	00	00	00	00	.00

Questions:

- 1. How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How will you rate overall the course?

Remarks:

Though course feedback is satisfactory, in coming academic

- 1. Course content will be expanded with additional cutting-edge topics suggested by students.
- 2. Will implement interactive tools for enhanced learning engagement.
- 3. Will conduct regular assessments to ensure content and presentation quality.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR

CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

