

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025)

Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



COURSE FEEDBACK FORM 2022-23

Academic Year 2022-23

Class: Third Year B. Pharm. Semester-VI

Subject: Medicinal Chemistry-III (BP601T) (Theory)

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 34/67)					
	Excellent (4)	Very good (3)	Good (2)	Average (1)		
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives	29	05		**		
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	31	03		***		
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?	31	03		-		
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	28	05		-		
How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?	32	02		-		
Would you like to suggest anything?		No				
Total responses	34	34	34	34		
% Responses	51	51	51	51		

Copy How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives 610%





PRINCIPA SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)


IQAC Changward 1978 423191 12 1978

SNJBs

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU








SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU





Action Taken:

- The overall course feedback by students was shown satisfactory. The satisfaction towards the course understanding, objectives, and outcome as fulfilled by the students were verified through their excellent results.
- · For more fruitful outcomes, ICT based tools will be employed.

(H. y. Shahare) Course in-charge

HOn

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal
PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU

Google Form Response Document

Medicinal Chemistry	у-III (БР601E) 2022-23 ф		20000	: 8
		Outsions Tesponers () Settings	Space promote	,
	34 responses		G the Indicate :	
			socialized articular	
	Survey	Guestion	Industrial	
	Average 3/1 perts	Median GFF20-Ne	Range 6-1 avera	
		Total points distribution		
	40 M	; 1 t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t	7 5	
	Name of Student			
				0.

(H. V. Jhahare)
Course in-charge

Winnsman HOD

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal
PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SUKESSDADA JAIN
ÇOLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMAC

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU

COURSE FEEDBACK FORM 2022-23

Academic Year 2022-23

Class: Third Year B. Pharm. Semester-VI

Subject: Medicinal Chemistry-III (BP607P) (Practical)

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 35/67)					
	Excellent (4)	Very good (3)	Good (2)	Average (1)		
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives	29	06				
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	29	06		220		
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?	31	04	-	=		
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	32	03	-	-		
How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?	32	03		-		
Would you like to suggest anything?		No				
Total responses	35	35	35	35		
% Responses	52	52	52	52		

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives

35 responses

29 (62.9%)

10 0 (0%) 0 (0%)

0 (0%)

1 2 3 4



SNJB'S SHRIM AND AND JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU

Copy How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus? 35 responses 36 20 10 0 (0%) 0 (0%) ∐ Сору How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality? 35 responses 40 30 20 10 0 (0%) 0 (0%) 4 (11.4%) 2 Copy How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality? 35 responses 45 20 10 3 (6.6%) 0 (0%) 0 (0%)



PRINCULAL
SNUB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, HEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMAÇYI

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU

How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?

35 responses.

40

30

20

10


0 (0%)

0 (0%)

1 2 3 3 4

Would you like to suggest anything? 35 responses [Copy

423101



1 (29%) 1 (29%) 1 (29%) 1 (29%) No everything is perf... No suggestions ar... No Any Suggestions No suggestions

Action Taken:

- The overall course feedback by students was shown satisfactory. The satisfaction towards the course understanding, objectives, and outcome as fulfilled by the students were verified through their excellent results.
- For more fruitful outcomes, ICT based tools will be employed

(H. V. Shihare)

Course in-charge

HOD

CHARGING TOOL

Dr. C. D. Upasani

PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)






SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU

Google form Response document



(H. V. Sjahare) Course in-charge

HÓD

Dr. & RI NICEPPA L
SNJB'S SHRIVANGIJAS SHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU




COURSE FEEDBACK FORM 2022-23

Academic Year 2022-23

Class: Third Year B. Pharm. Semester-V

Subject: Medicinal Chemistry-II (BP501T) (Theory)

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 37/67)					
	Excellent (4)	Very good (3)	Good (2)	Average (1)		
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives	31	06		-		
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	33	04				
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?	31	06	-			
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	32	05	-			
How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?	34	03	3 2	-		
Would you like to suggest anything?		No)			
Total responses	37	37	37	37		
% Responses	56	56	56	56		





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?

37 responses

40

20

10

0 (0%)

0 (0%)

1 2 3 4 (10.5%)

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?

37 responses
40
30
20
10
0 (0%) 0 (0%) 0 (10.2%)

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?

37 responses
40
30
32 (80.5%)
27
10
0 (0%) 0 (0%) 5 (13.5%)
0



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

C Copy



SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMAGY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU Chandwad Dist Nashik 423101

Google Form Responses Document

Medicinal Chemis	try-II(BP501T) 2022-23 口 ☆		a 0 ⊚ °	b e	Send	8
		Ouestions Responses 17 Settings	Total por	Va. E		,
	37 responses		[] Link to Sheets			META-CONT.
			keceptoptementale 0			
	Summary	Question	individual			
	Insights					
	Average 6/3 points	Median C/ 8 points	Range 0-0 ports			
		Total points distribution				
	10 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20					
	0 0 1	2 3 4 5 6 Points scored	7 \$			0.

Course in -charge

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)




SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU






Would you like to suggest anything?

Copy

37 responses



Action Taken:

- The overall course feedback by students was shown satisfactory. The satisfaction towards the course understanding, objectives, and outcome as fulfilled by the students were verified through their excellent results.
- For more fruitful outcomes, ICT based tools will be employed

(H. V. Shahare)

Course in-charge

HOD

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





COURSE FEEDBACK SEMESTER V- A. Y. 2022-23



				-	4
RO	L	L	1	O.	7

30

NAME (SURNAMI	E FIRST) *					
Lodha Kusum Kishor						
1. How would you r	rate the cour	rse on the b	asis of fulfi	llment of o	bjectives?	•
	1	2	3	4	5	
AVERAGE	0	0	0	•	0	EXCELLENT
2. How would you i syllabus?	rate the cou	rse on the b	asis of the	content and	l novelty di	scussed other than
	1	2	3	4	5	
AVERAGE	0	0	0	•	0	EXCELLENT
					1	



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHATT REY NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NATHIK)

· 3. How	would you ra	te the cour	se on the b	asis of pres	enting (Te:	ching Tool	s) and
	nicating quali			•		•	IQAC Chandwad
٠,		1	2	3	4	5	Gatton con with
AV	ERAGE	0	0	0	0	•	EXCELLENT
4. How quality?		ite the com	rse on the b	pasis of vari	ety of exan	uples and co	oncept building
		1	2	3	4	5	
AV	ERAGE	0	0	0	•	0	EXCELLENT
5. How	would you o	werall rate	the course	. *			
		1	2	3	4	5	
AV	ERAGE	0	0	0	0	•	EXCELLENT
6. Wo	uld you like t	o suggest a	nything? *				
No			35				

This form was created inside of SNJB (Jain Gurukul)

Google Forms





SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMAG JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Feedback Analysis

Dr. Manojkumar S. Mahajan

Associate Proessor

Department of Pharmacology.

Dear Sir.

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the BP602T Pharmacology III course for the academic year 2022-23 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total)					
	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair		
Were the objectives of the course clear to you	44	15	01	00		
The course contents met with your expectations	42	16	02	00		
The lecture sequence was well planned	45	15	00	00		
The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	42	17	01	00		
The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	46	14	00	00		
Will you recommend this course in your program	47	10	03	00		
Total		60				
% Responses	73.88	24.16	1.94	00		

OF THE STATE OF TH

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR

CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

IQAC

423101



SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

IQAC Chandwad Olst. Nashin 423101

2. THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total)					
	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair		
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	43	17	00	00		
The teaching aids were effectively used	45	14	01	00		
The course material handed out was adequate	48	12	00	00		
Were the objectives of the course met	46	12	02	00		
Please give overall rating of the course	48	12	00	00		
responses		60				
% Responses	76.66	22.33	1.00	00		

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

ENJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR

Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.

BP 503T PHARMACOLOGY II



COURSE FEEDBACK SEMESTER V- A. Y. 2022-23

	ROLL NO. *						COLOR PHARMACO
	NAME (SURNAME Suryawanshi Vaidavi S						
	1. How would you ra	ite the cour	rse on the b	asis of fulfi	llment of ob	jectives? *	
		1	2	3	4	5	
	AVERAGE	0	0	0	0	•	EXCELLENT
	2. How would you ra syllabus?	nte the cour	rse on the b	asis of the o	content and	novelty dis	cussed other than *
)		1	2	3	4	5	
	AVERAGE	0	0	0	0	•	EXCELLENT
	3. How would you re communicating qual				senting (Tea		0
	CERLIN SI	1	2	3	4	5 SNJB	PRINCIPAL 's Shraman Surechoada Jain
	AVERAGE CHAR	01:300 E	0	0	0	•	EGE OF PHACMACY, NEMINAGAR CHAENCIBLISENT

4. How would you quality?	rate the cou	rse on the l	basis of var	iety of exar	nples and c	oncept building
	1	2	3	4	5	IQAC Chandwar Oist, Nashi 423101
AVERAGE	0	0	0	0	•	EXCELLENT
5. How would you	overall rate	the course				
	1	2	3	4	5	
AVERAGE	0	0	0	0	•	EXCELLENT
6. Would you like	to suggest :	unything?*				

This form was created inside of SNJB (Jain Gurukul).

Google Forms







SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY URES JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Chandwad Dist, Nashia 423101

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Feedback Analysis

Dr. Manojkumar S. Mahajan

Associate Proessor

Department of Pharmacology.

Dear Sir,

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the BP503T Pharmacology II course for the academic year 2022-23 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of	Responses	(Total	-)
	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
Were the objectives of the course clear to you	35	23	04	00
The course contents met with your expectations	37	21	04	00
The lecture sequence was well planned	35	25	02	00
The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	42	17	03	00
The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	40	21	01	00
Will you recommend this course in your program	47	10	05	00
responses		62		- 0
% Responses	63.44	31.45	5.10	00

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



2. THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of	Responses	(Total	-)
	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	42	18	02	00
The teaching aids were effectively used	45	15	02	00
The course material handed out was adequate	48	12	02	00
Were the objectives of the course met	46	15	01	00
Please give overall rating of the course	44	17	01	00
Total		62		
% Responses	72.58	24.83	2.58	00
				-

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,


Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

PRINCIPAL SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR

CHANDWAD (NASHIK) Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Feedback Analysis Pharmaceutical Engineering Academic Year: 2022-23

Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmaceutics.

Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmaceutical Engineering course for the academic year 2022-23 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of R	esponses	(Total	11)
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	72.7	18.2	9.1	-
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	63.6	27.3	9.1	
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	81.8	9.1	9.1	-
4.	How will you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	83.6	18.2	18.2	
5.	How will you rate overall the course?	72.7	27.3		
_	Total responses	374.4	100.1	45.5	
	% Responses	74.88	20.02	9.1	

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.



PRINCIPAL
SNJB's SHRIMAN SUPE SHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY HEMBIAGAR
CHANDAND (AC. 4.3)



SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal

Note: Refer page 5 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfilment of objectives?

11 responses

8

6

4

2

0 (0%)
1 (9.1%)











SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, *NEMINAGAR*, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Copy Tools) and communicating quality?

11 responses






SNJB'S SH JAIN COLLEGE CHAMBINAL , SAR






SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.









Chandwad

423101

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Pharmaceutical Eng	incering Course feedback to	orm 2022-20	023 🖸 🖸	Y.		ಏ	O	0	5	c	Acres		
				почен Ф	Settings								
	11 responses	e				E Limbuli		1					
	irresponse.	3.7						_					
						A realize repr		•					
	Summary		- 0	Cueston		ind vida	al						
	Who has respond	led?											
	Émzal												
	sanskruf elkindelig gri	wil com						1					
	perektysy127@gmodu	com											
	minigacosn2%j.gma	it com											
	Content and opportunities The internal Content and Co	H Ph Phos		Compagnition of the Compag		A CONTRACTOR AND ADDRESS OF THE	-	net West	TestaDia	etry.	2 9 1	9 cv	1000
Low Et Name of Topics	M Proceedings of the Control of the	H Pro Prince Narrowskie (n. 1800)	uning Participan	SY Adith in poro		A CONTRACTOR AND ADDRESS OF THE	-	wel.NnAC	ronor 5	ety.	Hear.		10101
Low E these as Serve	G W W -/ I'm inter (UR) - dengenaturca motorma (g fron 177) - dengenaturca motorma (g fron 177) - dengenaturca motorma (g fron 177) - dengenaturca	H i Phi Phose kyr Svetchenk or in 2022-20	023 🗅 🌣	SY Adith in poro	e+ACYLISNIN_IS	ing Im JibCarolikyt	-	ovel.NrnX	TOMOT D	etvy.	Hear.		- C 0 - C
Low E these as Serve	Fig. 10 W	H i Phi Phose kyr Svetchenk or in 2022-20	023 🗅 🌣	SV.N.doffen.poro	e+ACYLISNIN_IS	ing Im JibCarolikyt	-	ovel.NVAC	YAMAN D	e e	Hear.		
Low E these as Serve	Final*	N in Prison Ny Statebook	023 🗅 🌣	SV.N.doffen.poro	e+ACYLISNIN_IS	ing Im JibCarolikyt	-	ovel.Nink	town.	divy.	Hear.		
Low E these of Service	Fig. 10 W	N in Prison Ny Statebook	023 🗅 🌣	SV.N.doffen.poro	e+ACYLISNIN_IS	ing Im JibCarolikyt	-	wel Nink	*concr.	ety.	Hear.		
Low E these of Service	Final* substitution in the	N in Prison Ny Statebook	023 🗅 🌣	SV.N.doffen.poro	e+ACYLISNIN_IS	ing Im JibCarolikyt	-	ovel.Nink	t description of the second	d.	Hear.		
Low E these of Service	Final*	H Pro-Prince Prince Prin	023 🗅 🌣	SV.N.doffen.poro	e+ACYLISNIN_IS	ing Im JibCarolikyt	-	©	t)	etvy.	Hear.		
Low Et Name of Topics	Final* Sunday of Superal Anneal Superal Anneal Superal Anneal Anne	H Pro-Prince Prince Prin	023 🗅 🌣	SV.N.doffen.poro	e+ACYLISNIN_IS	ing Im JibCarolikyt	-	©	5	etys.	Hear.		
Low Et Name of Topics	Final* Sunday of Superal Anneal Superal Anneal Superal Anneal Anne	N in Most Ky State North Command Comma	overlone Ac	У могет прогос	e e AL Y LIGHNO III	ing Im y biCarolikyt	-	©	5	c.	Hear.		
Low E these as Serve	Final * Shince Sensieuts Bagrat Name of Student * Shince Sensieuts Bagrat Shince Sensieuts Bagrat	N in Most Ky State North Command Comma	overlone Ac	У могет прогос	e e AL Y LIGHNO III	ing Im y biCarolikyt	-	©	D D	e e	Hear.		0
Low Et Name of Topics	Final * Shince Sensieuts Bagrat Name of Student * Shince Sensieuts Bagrat Shince Sensieuts Bagrat	H he record	overland Augustions Augustions A	onponent 4 on the basis	senings	fin JbCarolleyt	-	©	†	ety.	Hear.		•





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHGADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF FHARIDACY MEDIMAGAR
CHANDVIAD (NASHIN)




SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, *NEMINAGAR*, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Pharmaceutical Engineer	on Co our front and t	2022 20	32 En A			D G	0		C.	Serve Si	3
Pharmaceus engineer	ing course reedages			_						Accessed to the	1.00
		0.	estions teap	oner O s	епиць						
	How would you rate other than syllabus?		he scale 1 - 4 c	in the basis of	the content and	I novelly discuss	ed '				
		.1	2	3	4						
	Average	0	0	0	•	Excellent					
	How would you rate	the course on t	he scale 1 - 4 o	n the basis of	presenting (Tex	ching Tools) and					
	communicating qua										
		1	2	3	4						
	Average	0	0	•	0	Excellent					
O de decagos de constante de la	resident (Theosewhole) H	X In Into (240 - Kungarwalio	** * *				Creshic		- 200 to	- 0
C a docugoogle com/form	Terriforn reseive? Compared and	# In Into C	243 - Europhialus Mg ³ m 300 (m/17)	** * *				Connect Co			- 0
→ C (decogooglecom/tom where C Maga μs Topic to TET)	Terriforn reseive? Compared and	# In into C Ogravativación form 2022-20	240 - Europenau ing?m5QUau34/i 23 🗅 🕸	NO. N - PH				5			- 0
→ C (decogooglecom/tom where C Maga μs Topic to TET)	Terriforn reseive? Compared and	x h month Organization form 2022-20	240 - Europanialus Inglim SQL (11/2) 23 🗀 str estions Resp	NEC R Property of the second o	erminuse Ingre CYCENT_SRYIE	D O	Owner hard	t construction of the cons			- 0
→ C (decogooglecom/tom where C Maga μs Topic to TET)	Teaching received the Cres & Address for Other Course feedback from Course feedback for the World Course feedback for the Cres & Address for Other Course feedback for the Course feedback feedback feedback for the Course feedback feedb	x h month Organization form 2022-20	240 - Europanialus Inglim SQL (11/2) 23 🗀 str estions Resp	NEC R Property of the second o	erminuse Ingre CYCENT_SRYIE	D O	Owner hard	5			- 0
→ C (decogooglecom/tom where C Maga μs Topic to TET)	Teaching received the Cres & Address for Other Course feedback from Course feedback for the World Course feedback for the Cres & Address for Other Course feedback for the Cres & Address for the Cres & Address for Other Course feedback for Other Course feedback for the Cres & Address for Other Course feedback for the Cres & Address for Other Course feedback for the Cres & Address for Other Course feedback for Other Course feedback for the Cres & Address for Other Course feedback feedback for Other Course feedback feedback for Other Course feedback feedba	# The retor of Organization on the course on	243 - Europenaud mg/mSQCould/ 23 🗅 🕏 eations Responded to 4 o	NEC R Property of the second o	erminuse Ingre CYCENT_SRYIE	D O	Owner hard	Cress-C			- 0
→ C (decogooglecom/tom where C Maga μs Topic to TET)	Position reserved W	# The retor (C Oxyd Shubh donus form 2022-20 Out the course on t	243 - Europinaum inspiratorio (n. 1923) St. St.	see it in the basis of v	entings suitery of examp	D O	Owner hard	D D			- 0
→ C (decogooglecom/tom where C Maga μs Topic to TET)	How would you rate building quality?	# The retor (C Oxyd Shubh donus form 2022-20 Out the course on t	243 - Europinaum inspiratorio (n. 1923) St. St.	see it in the basis of v	entings suitery of examp	D O	Owner hard	b			- 0
→ C (decogooglecom/tom where C Maga μs Topic to TET)	Position reserved W	because on t	243 - Europhinadoria (1702) 23	see k	PTERM SAGEM CTEEN SAGEM PTEMPS	D O	Owner hard	t contract			- 0
→ C (decogooglecom/tom where C Maga μs Topic to TET)	Position research W	at The tree of Oxy 30 half demand on the course on the course on the course of the cou	243 - Europinasion on China (1997) 23	onces (1) So on the basis of v	ercanava tryres CrEEnin_ERgian ercings surfecty of examp &	D G	Owner hard	L. Creek			- 0









SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Academic Year: 2022-23

Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmaceutics.

Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmaceutics I course for the academic year 2022-23 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of F	esponses	(Total	37)
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	67.6	21.6	8.1	2.7
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	43.2	40.5	10.8	5.4
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	48.6	37.8	10.8	2.7
4.	How will you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	56.8	35.1	5.4	2.7
5.	How will you rate overall the course?	70.3	21.6	5.4	2.7
	Total responses	286.5	156.6	40.5	16.2
	% Responses	57.3	31.32	8.1	3.24

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.



SNJB'S SHPIKANDURE

COLLEGE OF PHARMAC

CHANDWAD (NAU----)

22



SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY PROBLEM JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal

Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfilment of objectives?

37 responses

20

10

1(2,7%)

3 (8,1%)



1

PRINCIPAL.

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SUPESH.

COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, L.

CHANDWAD (NASHIA)


3

423101




SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Copy Tools) and communicating quality?

37 responses







SNJB's SHRI'S HOADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF HANDWAD (NASHIK)



SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACE JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

O Copy How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4? 37 responses 30 26 (70.3%) 20 10 8 (21.5%) 2 (5.4%) 1 (2.7%) 3 37 responses View in Sheets Accepting responses Individual Question Summary Ш akankshazanjari6@gmail.com



COLLEGE OF PHARTAGE
COLLEGE OF PHARTAGE
CHANDWAD (NATURA)

Chandwad Dist, Nashik 423101 IQAC PERSON ACTION OF THE PERS

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

the Chen of Street	Ma Line : O Acobs Across												
21-22 Cosmetic scien	ce:Course feedback form	2021-2022 (DA			Ð	0	0	5	4	Sand	1	•
		9.	estors Auto	reve Ø s	ettings								
	Emad *												
	atomicshazan and Sgri	ari com											
	11 <u>20000000</u> 2500000												
	Name of Student * Alanksha Zanjari												
	How would you rare	the course on t	he scale 1 - 4 o	n the basis of	fulfilment of oc	econes".							
		1	2	3									
	Average	0	0	•	0	Excel	ent						
C # docs.google.com	S & WI K	azo-usukaneka	che wence Court	× I No 19 Au	emeratio i Com Englishment sh	K O M	Parrie , ring()	nya keri	802 1 Of Debi	* [-		e ()	0 1
C & docuposphicom	Pa How (128) - Europeadure: X	e20-usuaprela	bpOry of BOoveder	× □ 4-79 in	emeavisa i Coun	K O M	Partie Physical CP	nya keri of thete:	en i	× (-		1	0 1
C & docuposphican	Pa (Hone) (2011) - dumpmedium: N (Torres (21) - may (10) de (41) - casa (10) PT - Pa Charl - O Associatione	2021-2022	bpOry of BOoveder	hesponse -ACTO	i NgAU Mortish	Wang20Ada	LYFY(C)	aya keri Of 17 anis	8221 (Florida (S)	× (-		- 0	0 1
C docuposphicom	Pa (Hone) (2011) - dumpmedium: N (Torres (21) - may (10) de (41) - casa (10) PT - Pa Charl - O Associatione	n 2021-2022 G. The course on 1	today d Edoved (* C) & writions Resp	oners (D)	Erighu Horticali entergs	D	O.	©	en i	× (-		1	0 1
C & docuposphican	Pa Hourit 281 - Empredient R The Crest (C) Assertance The Crest (C) Assertance The Crest (C) Assertance How would you rate	n 2021-2022 G. The course on 1	today d Edoved (* C) & writions Resp	oners (D)	Erighu Horticali entergs	D	O.	©	azz i	× (- 0	0 1
C & docuposphican	Pa Hourit 281 - Empredient R The Crest (C) Assertance The Crest (C) Assertance The Crest (C) Assertance How would you rate	n 2021-2022 G. The course on 1	bpOryd EQuivalent C) 12 white one Response the scale 1 - 4 or	onecs on the basis of	engauthoricali lettergs	D	C cussed	©	ear r	× -		- 0	0 1
C & docuposphican	The Hour (128) - Empirical R.	n 2021-2022 Go The course on 1	ED ST RESPONSE THE SCALE 1 - 4 or 2	onsex on the basis of	ichgaufflortishi ichlargs the content and 4	D d novelly disc	C cussed	©	5	×		- 0	0 1
C & docuposphican	h How (128) - Empression: A standard Compression of the Creek & Assertioned NCC - Course Seedback form How would you rate other than syriabus? Average How would you rate	n 2021-2022 Go The course on 1	ED ST RESPONSE THE SCALE 1 - 4 or 2	onsex on the basis of	ichgaufflortishi ichlargs the content and 4	D d novelly disc	C cussed	©	5	× [- 0	0 1
C & docuposphicom	h How (128) - Empression: A standard Compression of the Creek & Assertioned NCC - Course Seedback form How would you rate other than syriabus? Average How would you rate	2021-2022 G. The course on 1	the scale 1 - 4 o	onsex 1 in the basis of	edings are content and presenting (Tex	D d novelly disc	© Cussed	©	8211 20002	× C		1	0



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SSTEAM BOADA JAIN
COLLEGE GOOD (KASHIK)

26



SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

states of the state of the control the con-	O American												
21-22 Cosmetic science Court	se feedback form	2021-2022	1 12			۵	0	0	5	ď	Send	:	0
		Ç.en		oner O	Settings								
	iow would you rate	the course on the	scale 1 · 4 c	n the basis of	variety of exam	ples and cur	ncept	¥7.					
b	ulting quality?												
		1	2	3	4								
	Average	0	0	0	•	Excell	ent						
	low would you over	all rate the course	on the scale	11-47*									
		1	2	,	4								
	Average	0	0	¢	•	Excel	ent						
ý	Vould you like to su	ggest grything?											
	40												0



PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA ANN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMA CHANGWAD (NASHIX)



SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



Feedback Analysis Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry-II Academic Year: 2022-'23

Dr. Sandip N. Wagh

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry-II


Dear Sir,

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry-II course for the academic year 2022 - '23 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of R	Responses	(Total	14)
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	30	09	05	01
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	20	14	05	05
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	23	10	06	05
4.	How will you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	21	10	08	05
5.	How will you rate overall the course?	24	07	07	05
	Total responses	118	50	31	21









SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



	% Responses	53.63	22.73	14.10	9.54
--	-------------	-------	-------	-------	------

Congratulations! You have received overall excellent comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again, we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors. With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal

PRINCIPAL
SNJE'S SHRIMAN SHRESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF FHARMAGY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAS (NASHIK)





SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY


IQAC

423101

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.






Dist. Nash 423101

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU






CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU








SURESHI


Chandwad Dist. Nashik 423101

GE OF PHASE

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU







SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY


(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program

IQAC Chandward Dist. Nashik 423121

GE OF PHAS

Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU












ESTD - 1928

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU





SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN -COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANOWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



The way

Feedback Analysis

Mr. Rakesh A. Khandare

Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology

Dear Sir

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmacology-1 course for the academic year 2022-2023 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s) •	No. of Responses (Total 68)					
·	Excell ent	Very good	Good	Fair		
Were the objectives of the course clear to you	39	15	8	6		
The course contents met with your expectations	34	20	7	7		
The lecture sequence was well planned	37	14	8	9		
The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	39	14	7	8		
The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	38	16	5	9		
Will you recommend this course in your program	37	17	7	7		
Total responses	68					
· % Responses	81.24 %					

THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 68)					
1 414(-)	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair		
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	41	11	7	9		
The teaching aids were effectively used	35	18	7	8		
The course material handed out was adequate	33	17	13	5		
Were the objectives of the course met	35	19	7	7		
Please give overall rating of the course	40	13	8	7		
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	37	17	7	7		
. Total responses	68		-			
· % Responses	75.24			av a		

Congratulations! You have received overall good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions. With Regards.

Yourş sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal

PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





IQAC

423101

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Feedback Analysis

Mr. Rakesh A. Khandare Assistant Professor Computer Lab Incharge

Dear Sir.

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Computer and internet Facility Feedback for the academic year 2022-2023 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

5. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 316				
	Exce llent	Very good	Good	Fair	
How would you rate the availability of computers in the library for your use?	16	92	163	45	
How would you assess the quality of the hardware, such as desktop computers and printers?	45	98	147	26	
Do the projectors in the classroom function properly?	89	123	92	12	
Is there enough internet access available on campus?	44	58	188	26	
Do the hours for accessing the computer lab suit the needs of users?	37	78	164	37	
Is the Wi-Fi connectivity functioning properly across the college campus?		67	129	42	
Are you satisfied with the range of software and resources available on the computers in the library?	54	29	199	34	
Total responses	248		•		
% Responses	81.89 %				

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors. With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Mr. C. D. Upasani

Principal
PRINCIPAL
SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Feedback Analysis

Mr. Rakesh A. Khandare

Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology

Dear Sir

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Human Anatomy and Physiology-I course for the academic year 2022-2023 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks. The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 68)					
, and anticor(s)	Excell	Very good	Good	Fair		
Were the objectives of the course clear to you	39	15	8	6		
The course contents met with your expectations	34	20	7	7		
The lecture sequence was well planned	37	14	8	9		
The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	39	14	7	8		
The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	38	16	5	9		
Will you recommend this course in your program	37	17	7	7		
Total responses	68					
% Responses	81.24 %					

THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 6					
	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair		
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	41	11	7	9		
The teaching aids were effectively used	35	18	7	8		
The course material handed out was adequate	33	17	13	5		
Were the objectives of the course met	35	19	7	7		
Please give overall rating of the course	40	13	8	7		
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	37	17	7	7		
Total responses	68					
% Responses	75.24					

Congratulations! You have received overall good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions. With Regards.

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal

PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Course Feedback Form (M. Pharmacy: Pharmacology)

Course: Pharmacology (PG)

AY: 2022-23

Course Code: MPL Year /Semester: I-IV

1. THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE

		Yes	To some Extend	No
A	Were the objectives of the course clear to you	1		_
В	The course contents met with your exceptions	1-		+
C	The lecture sequence was well planned			+
D	The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies			_
E	The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices			+
F	Will you recommend this course in your program	1_		+

2. THE CONDUCT OF THE COURSE

_		Yes	To some Extend	No
A	The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	~		
В	The teaching aids were effectively used	1		+
C	The course material handed out was adequate	1		+
D	Were the objectives of the course met	-		+

Please give overall rating of the course (\(\sqrt{ANY ONE} \))

90%-100%	80%-90%	70%-80%	60%-70%	50%-60%	> 50%	-
			15.55-50.760-59-57-78-55-1	1,000,000,000,000,000		

DIST, NASHIK PIN-423101

Any suggestions to improve the course :

rouide campus placement forstudents.

Name & Sig. priti-S. Dhirale

Year: 2027

PRINCIPAL

SNJE'S SHRIMAN SURESHIT COLLEGE OF PHAR JACK ST. C. LAR

CHANDWARD FALL





SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHA JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Course Feedback Form (M. Pharmacy: Pharmacology)

Course: Pharmacology (PG)

AY: 2022-23

Course Code: MPL Year /Semester: I-IV

1. THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE

·A	Were the objectives of the	Yes	To some Extend	No
В	Were the objectives of the course clear to you	1		
	The course contents met with your exceptions	1		+
C	The lecture sequence was well planned	1		-
D	The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	1		_
E	The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	-		
F	Will you recommend this course in your program			
	your program		750000000000000000000000000000000000000	

2. THE CONDUCT OF THE COURSE

A		Yes	To some Extend	No
A	The lecturers were clear and easy to understand			
В	The teaching aids were effectively used	-	-	+
\boldsymbol{C}	The course material handed out was adequate			+
D	Were the objectives of the course met		-	+-

Please give overall rating of the course (\(\sqrt{ANY ONE} \))

000/ 1000/	000/ 000/	2001 0001			
9070-100%	80%-90%	70%-80%	60%-70%	50%-60%	> F00/
		10100010	0070-7070	3070-0070	> 50%

Any suggestions to improve the course:

Name & Sig. Megha Sanjay Bora



Year:

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN

COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Course Feedback Form (M. Pharmacy : Pharmacology)

Course: Pharmacology (PG)

AY: 2022-23

Course Code : MPL Year /Semester: I-IV

1. THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE

		Yes	To some Extend	No
A	Were the objectives of the course clear to you	-		
В	The course contents met with your exceptions	1		_
C	The lecture sequence was well planned	1		
D	The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies			1
E	The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	-		
F	Will you recommend this course in your program			

2. THE CONDUCT OF THE COURSE

		Yes	To some Extend	No
A	The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	~		
В	The teaching aids were effectively used	~		
C	The course material handed out was adequate			
D	Were the objectives of the course met	V		

Please give overall rating of the course (√ ANY ONE)

90%-100%	80%-90%	70%-80%	60%-70%	50%-60%	> 50%	

Any suggestions to improve the course :

(en Showed focus on the Stations Placement

trey should enrich supro the student

DIST. NASHIK

Name & Sig. Arond Shing

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Course Feedback Form (M. Pharmacy : Pharmacology)

Course:	Pharmaco	logy	(PG)
---------	----------	------	------

AY: 2022-23

Course Code : MPL Year /Semester: I-IV

1. THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE

		Yes	To some Extend	No
A	Were the objectives of the course clear to you	V		
В	The course contents met with your exceptions	V		-
C	The lecture sequence was well planned	V	-	
D	The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	V		_
E	The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	V .		
F	Will you recommend this course in your program	V		

2. THE CONDUCT OF THE COURSE

	Z. THE COMBCCT OF THE COCKE	Yes	To some Extend	No
A	The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	V		
В	The teaching aids were effectively used	V_		
C	The course material handed out was adequate	1		
D	Were the objectives of the course met			

Please give overall rating of the course (√ ANY ONE)

90%-100%	80%-90%	70%-80%	60%-70%	50%-60%	> 50%	

Any suggestions to improve the course:

Name & Sig. Ornkor A. Valvade,

A. valuad

CHANDWAD E DIST. MASHIK PIN-423101

Year: Second Year

INCIPAL MAN SURESHDADA JAIN

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACYMEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NA SHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Course Feedback Form (M. Pharmacy: Pharmacology)

Course: Pharmacology (PG)

AY: 2022-23

Course Code: MPL Year /Semester: I-IV

1. THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE

A	Were the objectives of the course clear to you	Yes	To some Extend	No
В	The course contents and id	-		
A B C D	The course contents met with your exceptions The lecture sequence was well planned	V		
D	The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	1		
E	The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	V		
F	Wilf you recommend this course in your program	-		
	your program	1		

2. THE CONDUCT OF THE COURSE

A		Yes	To some Extend	No
A	The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	2		
В	The teaching aids were effectively used	10		-
C	The course material handed out was adequate	n		+
D	Were the objectives of the course met	1		+

Please give overall rating of the course (\(\sqrt{ANY ONE} \))

90%-100%	80%-90%	70%-80%	60%-70%	50%-60%	> 50%

Any suggestions to improve the course :

No suggestion

Name & Sig. Nikhi) R. Gangurde

CHANDWAD DIST, MASHIK Year: Second years

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY MEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIX)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Course Feedback Form (M. Pharmacy: Pharmacology)

Course: Pharmacology (PG)

AY: 2022-23

Course Code: MPL Year /Semester: 1-1V

1. THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE

	W. d. iii	Yes	To some Extend	No
A	Were the objectives of the course clear to you	1		
В	The course contents met with your exceptions	-	+	+
C	The lecture sequence was well planned	1		-
D	The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	1./		+
E	The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	1		+
F	Will you recommend this course in your program	-		+

2. THE CONDUCT OF THE COURSE

		Yes	To some Extend	No
A	The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	~		
В	The teaching aids were effectively used	1/		+
C	The course material handed out was adequate			-
D	Were the objectives of the course met			+

Please give overall rating of the course (\(\sqrt{ANY ONE} \))

90%-100%	80%-90%	70%-80%	60%-70%	50%-60%	> 50%
----------	---------	---------	---------	---------	-------

DIST. MASHIK

Any suggestions to improve the course :

Name & Sig. Sonowane Rushikeshk.

Year: 2021-23

Esecond year

PRINCIPAL SHRIMAN SURESHDA

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Course Feedback Form (M. Pharmacy: Pharmacology)

Course: Pharmacology (PG)

AY: 2022-23

Course Code: MPL Year /Semester: I-IV

1. THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE

Δ	Were the objective Cot	Yes	To some Extend	No
-	Were the objectives of the course clear to you	1		
B	The course contents met with your exceptions	1		
A B C	The lecture sequence was well planned	1		
D	The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	-	~	
E	The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	V		
F	Will you recommend this course in your program	1		
	your recommend this course in your program			

2. THE CONDUCT OF THE COURSE

A	TI 1	Yes	To some Extend	No
	The lecturers were clear and easy to understand			
В	The teaching aids were effectively used	-		+-
C	The course material handed out was adequate			+
D	Were the objectives of the course met			-

Please give overall rating of the course (\(\sqrt{ANY ONE} \))

1 / V / V - I V V / V V V V V V V V V	90%-100%	80%-90%	700/ 000/	1 5004		
	2070-10076	0070-9070	70%-80%	60%-70%	50%-60%	> 50%

Any suggestions to improve the course: No suggestion sust one comment:

course provides exceller Knowledge also

to improve the own skills.

Name & Sig. Shubham S. Kheire

PIN-423101

Year: Second year

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Course Feedback Form (M. Pharmacy: Pharmacology)

Course: Pharmacology (PG)

AY: 2022-23

Course Code: MPL Year /Semester: I-IV

1. THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE

		Yes	To some Extend	No
A	Were the objectives of the course clear to you			
В	The course contents met with your exceptions			_
C	The lecture sequence was well planned	V	1	_
D	The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	1		+
E	The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	1./		_
F	Will you recommend this course in your program	Ť		+

2. THE CONDUCT OF THE COURSE

		Yes	To some Extend	No
A	The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	1		
В	The teaching aids were effectively used	1		+
C	The course material handed out was adequate			+
D	Were the objectives of the course met	1		+

Please give overall rating of the course (\(\sqrt{ANY ONE} \))

90%-100%	80%-90%	70%-80%	60%-70%	50%-60%	> 50%
----------	---------	---------	---------	---------	-------

Any suggestions to improve the course:

Name & Sig. Mathpati Rushikesh V.

DIST. MASHIK

Year: 2021-23

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURSSHDADA JAW COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Course Feedback Form (M. Pharmacy : Pharmacology)

Course: Pharmacology (PG)

AY: 2022-23

Course Code : MPL Year /Semester: 1-IV

1. THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE

		Yes	To some Extend	No
A	Were the objectives of the course clear to you	-		
В	The course contents met with your exceptions			_
C	The lecture sequence was well planned			+
D	The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	1		+
E	The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	1		+
F	Will you recommend this course in your program			+

2. THE CONDUCT OF THE COURSE

		Yes	To some Extend	No
A	The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	~		
В	The teaching aids were effectively used	~		
C	The course material handed out was adequate			
D	Were the objectives of the course met	~		

Please give overall rating of the course ($\sqrt{\text{ANY ONE}}$)

90%-100%	80%-90%	70%-80%	60%-70%	50%-60%	> 50%
----------	---------	---------	---------	---------	-------

Any suggestions to improve the course:

No suggestion required. Thank you for creating a supportive classroom environment for us

Name & Sig. Gausay N. Kosas

2021-23

CHANDVIAD DIST, MASHIK

SMJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY MEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIW)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Course Feedback Form (M. Pharmacy: Pharmacology)

Course:	Pharmacol	logy	(PG)
---------	-----------	------	------

AY: 2022-23

Course Code : MPL Year /Semester: I-IV

1. THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE

		Yes	To some Extend	No
Α	Were the objectives of the course clear to you	/		
В	The course contents met with your exceptions		1	
C	The lecture sequence was well planned	./		
D	The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies			
E	The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	1		
F	Will you recommend this course in your program	1		

2. THE CONDUCT OF THE COURSE

		Yes	To some Extend	No
A	The lecturers were clear and easy to understand			
В	The teaching aids were effectively used			
C	The course material handed out was adequate	./		
D	Were the objectives of the course met			

Please give overall rating of the course (√ ANY ONE)

90%-100%	80%-90%	70%-80%	60%-70%	50%-60%	> 50%
----------	---------	---------	---------	---------	-------

Any suggestions to improve the course:

of we	get	chance t	o experience	e the	placement
	0		•		
interview	it	will be	beneficial	for us	

Name & Sig.

sheeya S. Sovitkae

Year:

2022 - 23

PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Course Feedback Form (M. Pharmacy: Pharmacology)

Course: Pharmacology (PG)

AY: 2022-23

Course Code: MPL Year /Semester: I-IV

1. THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE

A	Wandalini	Yes	To some Extend	No
A	Were the objectives of the course clear to you	1		
В	The course contents met with your exceptions	1		+
C	The lecture sequence was well planned	1		_
D	The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	-		
C D E	The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	1		
F	Will you recommend this course in your program			
	dis course in your program			

2. THE CONDUCT OF THE COURSE

A	The least	Yes	To some Extend	No
_	The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	1/		_
В	The teaching aids were effectively used	1		-
C	The course material handed out was adequate			+-
D	Were the objectives of the course met	1		+

Please give overall rating of the course (\(\sqrt{ANY ONE} \)

190%-100%	80%-90%	700/ 000/	CORY		
10070	0070-9076	70%-80%	60%-70%	50%-60%	> 50%

Any suggestions to improve the course :

Name & Sig. chavan Dipti shop

Year: 2021 - 2028



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Course Feedback Form (M. Pharmacy: Pharmacology)

Course: Pharmacology (PG)

AY: 2022-23

Course Code : MPL Year /Semester: I-IV

1. THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE

		Yes	To some Extend	No
Α	Were the objectives of the course clear to you	~		
В	The course contents met with your exceptions	~		
C	The lecture sequence was well planned	/		
D	The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	/		
E	The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	~		
F	Will you recommend this course in your program	~		

2. THE CONDUCT OF THE COURSE

		Yes	To some Extend	No
A	The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	/		
В	The teaching aids were effectively used	V		
C	The course material handed out was adequate	/		
D	Were the objectives of the course met	-		

Please give overall rating of the course (√ ANY ONE)

90%-100% 80	%-90% 70%-80%	60%-70%	50%-60%	> 50%	
-------------	---------------	---------	---------	-------	--

Any suggestions to improve the course:

I appreciate the way you make complex

CHANDVIAD DIST, NASHIK PIN-423101

Concepts easy to understand. So, No suggestion

Name & Sig. Rasal Pooja B. Po

Year: 2021-23

PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHAND/YAD (NASHIK)

our Alflis lune



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Course Feedback Form (M. Pharmacy : Pharmacology)

Course: Pharmacology (PG)

AY: 2022-23

Course Code: MPL

Year /Semester: I-IV

IQAC Dist, Nashik

1. THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE

		Yes	To some Extend	No
A	Were the objectives of the course clear to you	_		
A B	The course contents met with your exceptions			
С	The lecture sequence was well planned	<u></u>		
D	The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies			
E	The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	-		
F	Will you recommend this course in your program			

2. THE CONDUCT OF THE COURSE

		Yes	To some Extend	No
A	The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	_		
В	The teaching aids were effectively used	~		
C	The course material handed out was adequate			
D	Were the objectives of the course met	-		

Please give overall rating of the course (√ ANY ONE)

90%-100%	80%-90%	70%-80%	60%-70%	50%-60%	> 50%
----------	---------	---------	---------	---------	-------

Any suggestions to improve the course:

Thank You so much for everything you do for us 1

Name & Sig. Priyanka Vyavohore

Year: 2021-2023

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR

CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Course Feedback Form (M. Pharmacy : Pharmacology

Course: Pharmacology (PG)

AY: 2022-23

Course Code: MPL Year /Semester: I-IV

IQAC

1. THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE

		Yes	To some Extend	No
A	Were the objectives of the course clear to you	/		
B	The course contents met with your exceptions	~		
C	The lecture sequence was well planned	1		\top
B C D	The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	1		+
E	The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	1		+
F	Will you recommend this course in your program	~		\top

2. THE CONDUCT OF THE COURSE

		Yes	To some Extend	No
A	The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	~		
В	The teaching aids were effectively used	V		+
C	The course material handed out was adequate	V		+
D	Were the objectives of the course met	V		+

Please give overall rating of the course (\(\sqrt{ANY ONE} \))

90%-100% 80%	6-90% 70%-80%	60%-70%	50%-60%	> 50%
--------------	---------------	---------	---------	-------

Any suggestions to improve the course:

2 years. No suggestions required.

DIST. NASHIK PIN-423101

Name & Sig.

Year:

2022-23

PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR

CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Feedback Analysis Biopharmaceutics and Pharmacokinetics Academic Year: 2022-23

Dr. Nayana S. Baste Associate Professor Department of Pharmaceutics.

Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Biopharmaceutics and Pharmacokinetics course for the academic year 2022-23 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 53)				
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	40	12	01	00	
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	37	12	04	00	
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	42	09	01	01	
4.	How will you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	43	09	01	00	
5.		40	13	00	00	
	Total responses	202	42	07	01	
	% Responses	76.41	19.81	3.30	0.47	

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours Incerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal

Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

		Guestons mapon	ies C Settings	6.6
Previous y	ou rate the co	urre on the basis of	full ment of object ses?	(C)
45				40 (75.5%)
12				
14				
1.2			FREEZON	THE STREET
	W. Lite	1 (4 @*s)		CONTRACTOR SOL
			3	
How would y			the content and nevelty disc	
ther than syllable	157			05360
12 (***)				
43				
				21 (00.074)
43				31 (00.57)
#2 FE				37 (00.500)
#3 82 88 88	0 (C*s)		12 (22.6%)	37 (00.37)
+3 +3 10	⊕ (C*s)	• (7.5%)	12 (22.0%)	37,00000
#3 82 88 88				


communication	d you rate the cou goality?	rse on the basis of pre	esenting (Teaching Tools) and [Cop.
(3 responses				
40				
42				42 (79.2%)
27				
0	1 (1.6%)	1 (1 (%)	9 (17%)	
CONCERNION OF	The state of the s	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T		
4 How would	d you rate the cou y?	urse on the basis of va	riety of examples and co	ncept (O Copy
building qualit	d you rate the cou y?	irse on the basis of va	riety of examples and co	ncept [] Copy
building qualit	d you rate the cou y?	irse on the basis of va	riety of examples and co	ncept [O Copy
building qualit	d you rate the cou y?	irse on the basis of va	riety of examples and co	ncept [Copy
building qualit 53 resources 65	d you rate the cou y?	irse on the basis of va	riety of examples and co	
building qualit	d you rate the cou y?	arse on the basis of va	riety of examples and co	







PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMIMAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



2022-23 Biopharmaceutics and Pharmacokinetics Course Feedback Form

Program B Pharmacy

Academic Year 2022-23 Year /Semester Third Year B Pharmacy (VI Semester)

Course Table Biognamiaceutics and Pharmacokinetics. Course Code BPb041






Rol	Nu	mber	of Student *				
53		******	(A))(O)) + (I))(-A)((O)) + (O) - (O) - (O)		17) - 10 114 (1114 - 1 1114 - 1114 - 1114		
Nar	ne c	of Stu	dents (Surname First) *				
Son	awa	ne Aja	y Shantilal				
				1		27	
1.	Ho	ow wo	ould you rate the course on the	basis of fulfilln	nent of objectives?	•	
Av	erag	e					
	1	0					
	2	0					
	3	0					
	3	0					
	4	•					
Ex	celle	ent					
2. tha		ow w /llabu	ould you rate the course on the s?	basis of the co	ontent and novelty o	discussed oth	ner *
Av	erag	je					
	1	0					
	2	0					
	3	•					
	4	0					
Ex	celle	ent					







Averag	e e
1	Q
2	
3	0
4	•
Excelle	ent
	ow would you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept quality?
Average	e.
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	•


3. How would you rate the course on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and

communicating quality?

Excellent









Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.


5.	How would you overall rate the course *	
Αv	verage	
	1 ()	
	2 🔾	
	3 🔾	
	4 📵	
Ex	cellent	
Wo	ould you like to suggest anything? *	
:		

Google Forms

This form was created inside of SNJB (Jain Gurukul).









Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Feedback Analysis Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry-II Academic Year: 2022-'23

Dr. Charulata T. Nemade Associate Professor, HOD Department of Pharmacognosy

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmacoenosy and Phytochemistry-II course for the academic year 2022-'23 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks. The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

	THE DESIGN OF COURSE Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 53)					
	(4)	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair		
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	29	22	2	0		
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	34	16	3	0		
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	27	23	3	0		
	How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	29	22	2	0		
5.	How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?	26	20	7	0		
	Total responses	145	103	17	0		
_	% Responses	54.71%	38.86%	6.41%	0		

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani Principal

Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.






PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)




Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



€ Copy






2. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?


□ Сору

53 responses



3. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality? □ Сору

53 responses







PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)




Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

4 How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?

Copy


53 ****pone45



5. How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?

Copy

52 responses









PRINCIPAL

SNIB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

6. Would you like to suggest anything?

53 responses

No suggestions

Excellent

Nice one

All topics concept was cleared

All concepts were cleared

Ni Any Suggestions.

Ni

Examples







SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Questions Responses (3) Settings

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

						-
0 of 0 points				Sccience a	or 2 (May 7 & 2) 5	PQ'
P'cog & Phyto	o-II 22	2-23 Cou	ırse Fee	edback Fo	orm	
Program: B. Pharmacy Academic year: 2022-2023 Year/Semester: Third year B. Course title: Pharmacognosy Course Code: BP504T Faculty In Charge: Dr. Charuli	pharmacy : & Phyloche	Sem V emistry-II (Theory				
Indicates required question						
Write your name here. *						0
Ghante Amit Ajit						
Add individual feedback						
How would you rate objectives?	the course	e on the scale 1	- 4 on the basis	s of fulfilment of	*	0
Average	0	0	0	•	Excellent	
Add individual feedback						
How would you rate novelty discussed other			- 4 on the basi	s of the content an	d •	7.0
	1	2	3	4		
Average	0	0	0	•	Excellent	
Add individual feedback					72	





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

3. How would you Teaching Tools) an			le 1 - 4 on the	basis of	presenting		
	1	2	3		4		
Average	0	0	0		•	Excellent	
Add individual foeds	back						
How would you examples and cond			ele 1 - 4 on the	basis of	variety of		
	1	2	3		4		
Average	0	0	0		•	Excellent	
Add individual feed	back						
5. How would you	u overall rate t	he course on	the scale 1 -	1? *			Ü
	1	2	3	4	5		
Average	0	0	0	0	•	Excellent	
Add individual feed	back						
6. Would you like to	o suggest anyt	hing? *					C
Everything is perfect	no suggestions						
Add individual feed	back						
						Submitted 5/28/23	3 05 84





PRINCIPAL

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Feedback Analysis Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry-I Academic Year: 2022-'23

Dr. Charulata T. Nemade Associate Professor, HOD Department of Pharmacognosy

Dear Madam Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmacoenosy and Phytochemistry-I course for the academic year 2022-'23 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks. The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

١.	THE DESIGN OF COURSE Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 54)				
	rarameter(s)	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	23	26	3	2	
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	23	19	10	2	
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	21	22	7	4	
4.	How would you rate the course on the scale I	30	13	/	4	
5.	Concept building quality? How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?	26	12	2	3	
_	Total responses	123	92	29	15	
	% Responses	45.55%	34.07%	10.74%	5.55%	

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. PPT or notes should be given to students for their better studies. Use Powerpoint presentation for teaching. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours Incerely

Dr. C.D. Upasani

Principal

Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.






PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)




Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



2. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?

3




3. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?

54 responses

□ Сору

4



CHANDWAD

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Peog	& Phyto-1202	2-2023	Û			5 G G	md
			Questions	Responses 😃	Settings	129	production of
	0 of 0 points					4 444-01.744-279-12	14
	P'cog &	Phyto-	1 2022-2	023 Co	urse Fee	dback Forn	n
	Program B Pha Academic year Year/Semester	rmacy 2022-2023 Second year B rrmacognosy & P405T	pharmacy Sern IV Phytochemistry-I				
	• indicates requ	red question					
	Write your nan	ne here. *					0
	Aarya Gujrani						
	Add individual	feedback					
How v objectives		the course	on the scale 1	- 4 on the basi	s of fulfillment o	of *	0
		1	2	3	4		
Aver	rage	0	0	0	•	Excellent	
Add individ	dual feedback						
	vould you rate cussed other			- 4 on the basi	s of the conten	and •	0
		1	2	3	4		
Aves	age	0	0	•	0	Excellent	
Add individ	sual feedback						





PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

3. How would you	rate the course	on the scal	e 1 - 4 on the	basis of	presenting		
(Teaching Tools) an	d communican	ng quanty:					
	1	2	3		4		
Average	0	•	0		0	Excellent	
Add individual feedb	sack						
How would you examples and conc			le 1 - 4 on the	e basis of	variety of	•	2
	3	2	3		4		
Average	0	•	0		0	Excellent	
Add individual feedl	back						
5. How would yo	u overall rate th	e course on	the scale 1 -	4? *)
	1	2	3	4	5		
Average	0	•	0	0	0	Excellent	
Add individual feed	back						
6. Would you like to	o suggest anyth	ing? *					- 0
All was perfect							
Add individual feed	Iback						
						Submitted 5/27/23	9 12 AN






PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

4. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality? □ Сору


54 responses



5. How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?

[□ Сору

54 responses



6. Would you like to suggest anything?

54 responses

No

No

No any suggestions

Nothing

Mam, You Teach Very Well, All Concepts Are Clear

Teaching good





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Feedback Analysis Herbal Drug Technology Academic Year: 2022-'23

Dr. Charulata T. Nemade Associate Professor, HOD Department of Pharmacognosy

Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Herbal Drug Technology course for the academic year 2022-23 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 57)				
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	31	25	00	1	
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	29	25	2	1	
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	34	21	1	1	
4.		36	19	1	1	
5.	How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?	30	18	8	1	
	Total responses	160	108	12	5	
	% Responses	56.14%	37.89%	4.21%	1.75%	

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal

Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.

IQAC
Chandwad
Ext. Reckin
473101



PRINCIPAL
SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Faculty In Charge: Dr. Charulata T. Nemade

HDT 22-23 Course Feedback Form

Program: B. Pharmacy
Academic year. 2022-2023
Year/Semester: Third year B. pharmacy Sem VI
Course title: Herbal Drug Technology (Theory)
Course Code: BP603T












PRINCIPAL
SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.











PRINCIPAL

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR

CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Program B Pharmacy

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

HDT 22-23 Course Feedback Form

	2022					
Academic year 2022 Year/Semester Third	eves 	Sem VI				
Year/Semester Linea	year is phanned;	Dectyl				
Course title Herbal Dr	rug recinology ti	incory)				
Course Code BP6031		ando.				
Faculty In Charge Dr.	Charquata I Neu	igue				
* Indicates reduced co	uestion					
Write your name he	re.*					
Suraj Parceshi						
Add Individual feed!	back					
How would you	rate the course	on the scale 1	- 4 on the basi	s of fulfilment o	f *	
objectives?						
	1	2	3	4		
Average	0	0	0	•	Excellent	
Add ind vidual feedb	ack					
2. How would you	rate the course	on the scale 1	- 4 on the basi	s of the content	and *	6
novelty discussed o	ther than syllab	us?				
	1	2	3	4		
Average	0	0	0	•	Excellent	
Add individual feedt	ack.					





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SUNESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARIJACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

How would yo (Teaching Tools) a	u rate the coun nd communic	rse on the sca ating quality?	le 1 - 4 on	the basis o	of presenting		
	1	2		3	4		
Average	0	0		0	•	Excellent	
Add individual feed	iback						
How would yo examples and con-			le 1 - 4 on	the basis o	of variety of	•	3
	1	2		3	4		
Average	0	0	(С	•	Excellent	
Add individual feed	fback						
5. How would yo	u overall rate t	he course on	the scale 1	- 4? *			0
	1	2	3	4	5		
Average	0	0	0	0	•	Excellent	
Add individual feed	back						
6. Would you like to	suggest anyt	hing? *					D
Excellent Teaching							
Add individual feed	back						

Submitted 5/31/22 12:25 PM





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)